

# Residential development guide









# **CONTENTS**

<b>PREFACE</b> by Councillor Cathy Muldoon		5
INTRODUCTION		7
PRE-APPLICATION CHECKLIST		9
<b>CONTEXT, CHARACTER AND IDENTITY</b>	•	12
DESIGN AND LAYOUT PRINCIPLE		14
	Place	14
	Movement	15
	Density	15
	Tenure and housing mix	16
	Scale, height and massing	17
	Plot coverage	18
	Design and access statements	18
DESIGN AND LAYOUT DETAILS		20
	House design	20
	Roads and streets	21
	Materials	23
	Boundary treatments	24
	Community safety	25
	Miscellaneous provisions	26
	Green infrastructure	27
	The Central Scotland Green Network	28
	Other layout considerations	30
AMENITY AND PRIVACY		31
	Daylight	31
	Sunlight	31
	Distance between buildings	32
	Distance to boundaries	33
	Distance between windows	33
	Internal floorspace	34
	Other amenity/privacy considerations	34
GARDENS AND PRIVATE OPEN SPACE		35
OPEN SPACE		36
	Active open space	38
	General provision	41
	Financial contributions	42
	Open space in the Core Development Areas	43
	Passive open space	44
	Maintenance of incidental open space and	
	landscaped areas	45
LANDSCAPE DESIGN		46
TREES		47
	Retention and protection of existing trees	48
	New planting	49
CAR PARKING STANDARDS		51
ACCOMMODATING CAR PARKING		53
ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING POINTS	5	54
GARAGES		54
DRIVEWAYS		55

<b>BUS STOPS AN</b>	D SHELTERS		55
CYCLING AND	WALKING		56
CYCLE STORAG	SE AND CYCLE PARKING	i	57
PUBLIC RIGHTS	S OF WAY		57
TRANSPORT A	SSESSMENTS (TA)		58
<b>QUALITY AUDI</b>	ITS (QA)		58
ROAD SAFETY	AUDITS (RSA)		58
ROAD CONSTR	CUCTION CONSENT (RCC	<u>-</u>	59
TRAVEL PLANN			59
TECHNICAL GU	JIDANCE FOR STREETS		59
BIODIVERSITY			60
PROTECTING E	XISTING WILDLIFE AND	NATURAL HABITATS	61
	HOUSING DEVELOPME	NT	63
ENERGY EFFIC			64
ADAPTABLE BU			66
THE WATER EN	VIRONMENT		67
		Water management	67
		Watercourses and culverting	67
		Flooding	68
		Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs)	70
ENVIRONMEN	TAL CONSIDERATIONS		72
		Ground consideration	72
		Management of soils	73
		Contaminated land	74
		Major accident hazard and	7.
		potential hazard zones	75 76
		Air quality Radon gas	76
		Noise	77
		Light pollution	78
ENVIDONMENT	TAL ASSESSMENT	Light pollution	79
CONSTRUCTIO			80
	USEHOLD WASTE		81
	NSTRUCTION WORKS		83
	ENVIRONMENT		84
PUBLIC ART	LITTINONINLITT		84
	ONTRIBUTIONS		85
PLANNING FO			87
	N LD CATTOIN		0,
APPENDIX 1	New planning applicati	ion procedures - hierarchy of development	88
APPENDIX 2	Supporting information	·	89
APPENDIX 3	Checklist of key conside		90
APPENDIX 4	Costs for open space p		92
APPENDIX 5	Useful contacts		9:

# Supplementary planning guidance

#### **NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDE**

**Preface** by Councillor Cathy Muldoon, Executive Portfolio Holder for Development and Transport



West Lothian has enjoyed sustained growth over recent decades and new house building has significantly impacted on the character of the area, both physically and in terms of perception. Survey work undertaken by and for the council consistently suggests that West Lothian is widely regarded as a desirable place to live and work.

The recent downturn in house building activity, due principally to an adverse financial climate, is of concern, but West Lothian continues to offer tremendous opportunities and there is every reason to be optimistic and to anticipate a recovery when economic conditions become more benign.

Nevertheless, in order to sustain West Lothian's housing market status, there needs to be a concerted effort to continually drive up standards, embrace best practice and ensure that all new residential development is of the highest quality, based on sound urban design principles. The council is committed to offering the people of West Lothian a better quality of life by encouraging developers to create varied, vibrant and safe communities that people will want to live in.

The council is also tasked with addressing the global environmental challenges ahead. Planning has a pivotal role to play in ensuring that the development industry moves towards more sustainable forms of development by giving effect to the Scottish Government's Guidance on sustainable development and climate change (*Planning and Climate Change* and *Scottish Planning Policy*). It is uniquely placed to direct development to the most appropriate and efficient locations, particularly those with excellent walking, cycling and public transport accessibility, to encourage sustainable design and construction, help safeguard biodiversity, champion recycling/waste reduction and promote more energy efficient homes, thereby reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. There is also a specific need to ensure that more affordable housing is provided that will better meet the needs of our communities.

Despite the challenging economic climate there is no justification for lowering the quality of developments. Whilst recognising the difficulties confronting the development industry, it is important to remember that the houses that we build today will survive well beyond the present economic downturn and we owe it to residents, both present and future, to meet their needs and demands. Thankfully, we are now firmly rooted into a culture where the question to be asked is whether a development *is good enough to approve* rather than whether it is *poor enough to refuse*.

Early clarity on standards and consistency in maintaining them will increase certainty and help to more accurately identify costs. Developer's costs are often more affected by changing requirements as a project proceeds than by upfront quality thresholds that may be demanding but are nevertheless known and accounted for. The intention, indeed the expectation, is that the standards set out here should act as incentives to investment rather than as burdens on resources.

The creation of better-designed, high quality, distinctive environments and sustainable communities is one of the Scottish Government's key ambitions, as evidenced by *Designing Places* (April 2008) and *Designing Streets* (March 2010), the two key national policy statements on design and place-making. These documents, as supplementary planning guidance, and the positions they espouse, are fully endorsed and supported by West Lothian Council.



Councillor Cathy Muldoon

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) is produced by the council in order to explain how particular development plan policies should be applied in practice.

In this instance it is specifically related to medium to large scale residential developments, i.e. where more than 10 dwellings are proposed, or, in the case of applications for planning permission in principle, sites with a capacity for more than 10 dwellings, and is intended to support and amplify policies HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 5, HOU 6, HOU 7, HOU 8, HOU 9, HOU 10, CDA 4 and CDA 6 of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan 2009* and any comparable or equivalent policies in the *West Lothian Local Development Plan*.

Separate guidance, entitled *Single plot and small-scale infill residential development in urban areas*, and relating to developments not exceeding 10 units, has previously been published (April 2008).

This SPG seeks to ensure a consistent application of policy and to provide a design framework for all who are involved in the provision of new residential development within West Lothian: it brings together all the elements that help contribute towards cohesive housing layout design.

Council officers and elected members will use this guidance when assessing and determining planning applications, as will local communities and others when being consulted on new residential development in their locality.



The SPG encourages high quality and innovative housing developments that are fit for purpose, visually attractive, well integrated into their surroundings, designed on environmental sustainability principles with excellent walking, cycling and public transport accessibility to facilities such as shops and schools, low in carbon emissions and offer a good standard of amenity to new and future occupants while at the same time protecting the amenity of existing residents.

Fundamental to the successful implementation of this guidance is the emphasis on a robust and integrated design process where all elements are considered as one, rather than in isolation, and, at the earliest possible stage in the design process.

Experience has shown that good design is not a quality that can be added to a scheme later by retro-fit amendments. It is only achieved by having a thorough understanding and appreciation of the development site in its wider context from the outset.

The benefits of good residential design are considerable: it improves socio-economic wellbeing and quality of life by reducing crime, improving public health, increasing property values, attracting investment to an area and improving civic pride and confidence. An increasing number of developers are also recognising that higher quality development can help to maximise returns on their investment. This document unashamedly promotes and champions high quality residential development.

### Key objectives of the guide are:

- to reduce the amount of countryside, (and other *greenfield* land), being built on and give priority to new homes on previously developed *brownfield* sites;
- to create more homes and generally utilise land more efficiently and effectively, by optimizing densities where appropriate;
- to encourage development in areas of higher accessibility such as within public transport corridors;
- to achieve layouts where high accessibility and connectivity encourages sustainable travel such as walking, cycling and the use of public transport ahead of the car;
- to make places for living that are of high quality design and distinctiveness and respect and enhance local character;
- to create environments that are secure and enable residents to live without the fear of crime:
- to improve the quality and choice of housing with particular regard to size, household composition, tenure, price, and accessibility;
- to create attractive, people-friendly places that are easy to get to and move around in, focusing on the needs of pedestrians rather than cars;
- to protect and enhance biodiversity by providing habitats for flora and fauna to establish and thrive and to promote and incorporate the principles of sustainable development;
- to promote design and site planning principles that aim to increase energy efficiency in all new residential development; and
- to contribute to the delivery of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN), a strategic network of woodland and other habitats, active travel routes, greenspace links, watercourses and waterways, providing an enhanced setting for development and other land uses and improved opportunities for outdoor recreation and culture activity, embraced and bringing together many of the objectives detailed above.

While this SPG is not part of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan*, it has, nevertheless, been the subject of a consultation process and a formal council resolution (it was adopted by the council on 9 October 2012. It has also been prepared in expectation of the *West Lothian Local Development Plan* superseding the *West Lothian Local Plan* at a future date and the guidance should therefore be regarded as a material planning consideration when the council, Scottish Government and Reporters assess and determine planning applications and appeals.

This guidance requires to be read in conjunction with the prevailing development plan, other policy documents, SPG, planning briefs and planning guidelines that identify site specific requirements. Of particular relevance will be technical advice provided by the Transportation Manager on road and access requirements.

A pre-application checklist, while not exhaustive, identifies key areas of research that should typically be completed before any design work commences. Details of the various contacts referred to are provided in Appendix 7 at the end of this document.

#### **PRE-APPLICATION CHECKLIST**

ISSUE	RESEARCH REQUIRED						
	О	Identify relevant Development Plan allocations and policies					
Planning	o	Identify other planning constraints (conservation areas, designed landscapes, listed buildings, tree preservation orders etc)					
background	О	dentify relevant planning guidance affecting the site (e.g. planning briefs, SPG)					
	О	dentify any live planning permissions on the site or in the immediate vicinity					
	О	Undertake a search of the site's planning history					
Geology, ground	o	Undertake a desktop study and follow through with intrusive investigations where necessary					
conditions and	О	Establish whether the site lies within a Coal Mining Development Referral Area					
contaminated land	o	Contact and seek advice from the council's Building Standards team, Contaminated Land Officer and The Coal Authority					
A	o	Establish whether the site lies within the Aviation Safeguarding Zone for Edinburgh Airport.					
Aviation safeguarding	٥	Where development might impact on the operations of Edinburgh Airport, contact and seek detailed advice from Development Management and Edinburgh Airport Limited.					
		Establish whether the site lies within the hazardous installation consultati zones which have been designated around existing pipelines.					
Pipeline safeguarding	٥	Contact and seek detailed advice from Development Management and the relevant pipeline operator to identify any pipeline or hazardous installation consultation zones.					
	o	Check SEPA flood maps					
Risk of flooding	o	Contact and seek advice from the council's Flood Risk Manager					
	О	Undertake flood risk assessment where necessary					
٥		Undertake a desktop study					
Archaeology	o	Contact and seek advice from Development Management and the West of Scotland Archaeology Service (WoSAS)					
Attendediogy	О	Where development might directly impact of sites of scheduled archaeology, advice should be sought from Historic Scotland's Scheduled Monument Consent Team					

Sustainable / low carbon house building	٥	Contact and seek advice from the Energy Saving Trust and the council's Climate Change Officer			
	0	Undertake a site visit and prepare a desktop study			
	o	Establish whether the site is designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protected Area (SPA)			
Biodiversity		dentify any protected species of flora, fauna and wildlife that may be preser Contact and seek advice from the council's Development Management section and SNH local area officer			
	0	Where appropriate, commission an arboricultural survey and biodiversity study			
Landscape interest	o	Establish whether the site is subject to any landscape designations. Where appropriate, commission a landscape and visual impact assessment			
Open space	0	Contact NETS and Land Services to consider open space criteria			
	o	Identify existing and potential walking, cycling and public transport access routes between the development site and community facilities			
Connectivity	o	Contact and seek advice from the council's Transportation Engineers /cycling officer and NETS and Land Services regarding the opportunities for providing on road and off road cycling facilities			
	o	Undertake a site visit and identify any noise generating sources which may present an impediment to development			
Noise	o	Contact and seek advice from Development Management and Environmental Health officers			
٥		Where appropriate, commission a noise survey (having first agreed the terms and methodology with officers of the council)			
	o	Undertake a site visit and identify any air polluting sources which may present impediment to development			
Air quality		Contact and seek advice from Environmental Health			
		Establish whether there are any existing or proposed Air Quality Management Areas in the vicinity of the site which may in turn be affected by traffic associated with the development			
Drainage and	o	Contact Scottish Water and SEPA to establish availability of capacity/supply and to identify their adoptable standards and key requirements for SUDs			
water supply	o	Contact and seek advice from Development Management, Flood Risk Manager and Transportation Manager to identify their key requirements for SUDs			
Utilities	o	Contact the main utility providers and identify works required to enable development and establish any hidden costs			
Waste Management	o	Contact and seek advice from Waste Management to establish requirements for refuse and recycling facilities, particularly as to how they may affect street design			
Education	٥	Contact and seek advice from the council's Education Planning officer to establish availability of primary and secondary school capacity and identify relevant developer contributions			
Public Transport	o	Establish where existing bus stops and shelters are located and confirm with the Public Transport service whether there are any improvements to existing facilities planned or required, including foot/cycle path connections to bus stops and secure cycle parking at main bus stops, to be provided by the developer			
Transportation	o	Contact and seek advice from the council's Transportation Engineers about access constraints, specific development requirements, design standards, road drainage, materials, etc. Where appropriate, the method and scoping of transport assessments should be agreed			

The council strongly encourages developers and their agents to have pre-application discussions with a planning officer as an early means of identifying relevant policies, issues to be addressed and information required to support an application for planning permission. This can provide a number of benefits to both the developer and council, including;

- greater confidence about the outcome of an application
- reduction in time delays caused by requests for design amendments and further information
- reduction in the overall application processing times
- improvement in the quality of information submitted to the council
- improvement in the design quality of applications
- reduction in the number of applications refused

Where appropriate, colleagues specialising in matters such as roads, flood risk, education, conservation, contaminated land, noise and air quality etc, can be introduced to developers or their advice sought. The council can also facilitate discussions with statutory consultees and other external organisations. Such discussions are of course

without prejudice to the decision that might be taken by the council if and when an application is pursued.

In so far as larger developments are concerned, the council also encourages early and constructive dialogue between developers and the local community to establish their aspirations and capture their knowledge of the site - the objective being to ensure that new development contributes towards the qualities of a community. Effective public consultation can also help to test the design approach, and, where appropriate, test options. As a consequence of the Town and Country Planning (Development



Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2008, there is now a **statutory requirement** for developers to undertake pre-application consultation for some major residential developments and this is explained later in this document in Appendix 1.

To arrange an appointment with a Planning Officer, or to obtain further information and advice, please contact: Planning Services, West Lothian Council, County Buildings, High Street, Linlithgow, EH49 7EZ or telephone 01506 280000 and ask to speak to a Planning Officer for the area in which you propose to develop.

#### **CONTENT, CHARACTER AND IDENTITY**

An often cited, and sometimes valid criticism of new residential developments has been their failure to satisfactorily respond to what is usually called the *context* of the site. Too many new developments are lacking in distinctiveness, and could, geographically, be just about anywhere.

In going forward, and certainly before drawing up proposals, applicants will be expected to have thoroughly researched the site in order to identify the factors that influence the type and form of development that may be appropriate to the location. The design process must above all be analysis based.

It will be important to demonstrate that a proper analysis of the site and its surroundings has been undertaken and that the context has been understood and given due regard, together with all of the other detailed considerations contained in the SPG.

Proposals for new development must satisfactorily:

- demonstrate an understanding of landscape setting, historical context and biodiversity;
- reinforce the distinctive character of local areas, reflect settlement patterns and contribute to a sense of place; and
- have an understanding of local building traditions and materials.

The following list, while not exhaustive, suggests some of the most important considerations that should be analysed and assessed;

- site location e.g. urban infill, urban extension, village infill, village extension, isolated rural;
- topography;
- landscape;
- biodiversity;
- existing settlement pattern, including street patterns and widths;
- surrounding land uses, existing buildings and site features;
- established building heights and lines, scale and massing and relationship with buildings adjacent to the site;
- local building traditions, architectural detailing and materials;
- site drainage and potential flood risk;
- prominence/visibility and important views into, across and out of the site;
- orientation and microclimate shelter, shadow, prevailing wind, time and path of the sun;
- trees/woodland on the site (and the potential need for an arboricultural survey)
- patterns of movement and uses; access to the site, linkages with the surrounding area, local facilities, public transport networks and established walking and cycling routes;
- existing settlement edge (if applicable);
- constraints such as archaeology, contamination, proximity to major transport corridors or noisy/polluting uses;

The challenge for developers is to create not just functional housing, that's the easy part, but places with their own distinctive character and identity that respect the local context. The design response to any site should be innovative and site-responsive, offering a choice of housing and providing good connections to support existing local facilities.

In the majority of cases, the existing context will provide clear indicators as to how any new development should be sited and designed.

However, it is recognised that in some locations the surrounding environment may have few distinctive qualities or character, or will have a poor layout or design of buildings. In these circumstances, developers may be given greater latitude to innovate and to create an imaginative and locally distinctive high quality development.



Developers will be encouraged to move away from utilising *identikit* layouts and from designing to a standard formula that takes little or no account of a site and its unique characteristics.

The over use of generic house types is especially problematic, although they need not in themselves necessarily inhibit the creation of a diverse and interesting built environment, provided they do not end up dictating the layout and form of a development, and the distribution of houses is not overly repetitive. In such circumstances, it is better if dwellings have a common design approach with small clusters of different design styles that help reduce the massing of a development and add visual interest.

While the council will not seek to impose unsubstantiated requirements to conform to particular building styles or taste, it will, quite reasonably, insist on applicants providing robust evidence as to how their proposals build upon and/or create local distinctiveness and acknowledge the vernacular context.

Planning Advice Note (PAN) 44, *Fitting new housing developments into the landscape*, offers suggestions to help developers achieve residential developments that are in harmony with their landscape setting.

Planning Advice Note (PAN) 67, *Housing quality* is also a useful source of information and highlights the need for good design in the development of housing.

#### **DESIGN AND LAYOUT PRINCIPLES**

For buildings and neighbourhoods to provide attractive, safe and accessible places, it is important that a design framework establishes the physical structure of new residential developments by arranging, positioning and linking buildings, open spaces, foot paths/cycle paths and structural landscaping in order to shape the character of the whole area as this requires consideration to be given to a number of key subject areas.

#### Place

It is essential that an analysis of the surrounding area is undertaken to establish the prevailing character of an area. This requires an appreciation of the proportion of buildings to open spaces, the scale of any open spaces and street patterns. How existing streets are shaped by plot width and size, storey height, building height, rooflines and materials all contribute to the understanding of the context for new development.

Thoughtful and well designed streets can make a significant contribution to the quality of the built environment and they play a key role in the creation of sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities.

The design of developments should not be dominated by road geometry and engineering standards. The housing layout should be developed **in tandem** with an assessment of the area's character, together with

proper regard to the functionality of roads and streets.

Features can be created, such as corner elements, landmarks and areas of different character, all of which help create distinctive and recognisable places.

For the most part, houses should front onto streets and public areas.

Building frontages should create a positive relationship between the houses and the street to reinforce the character of the street as a public, social space, providing definition and enclosure.



#### **Movement**

The success of new residential development depends on how well it is connected to existing areas, established routes and local facilities. Layouts should be simple and integrate into the surrounding area by working with the network of routes and its hierarchy and streets should provide a series of interesting, welcoming and people-friendly connections as opposed to dead ends. The most successful connections are deemed to be those that offer a distinct advantage over using the private car (particularly for shorter journeys) through their design and which often reflect key desire lines.

While short culs-de-sac with activity throughout the day can provide some natural surveillance against crime and a relatively safe place for children to play, layouts based on conventional culs-de-sac and loops without inter-connection will generally be discouraged as they have a tendency to encourage car use rather than walking or cycling and result in higher traffic volumes on feeder roads.

By contrast, layouts based on linked networks are more likely to encourage pedestrian movement and cycling. They provide a greater choice of route, more visual interest and generate higher levels of pedestrian activity.

#### **Density**

Housing density should **always** relate to the character of the wider area and its accessibility.

However, in order to sustainably meet long term-housing needs, it is important that new developments are designed to make the best and most efficient use of the land available.

Typically, higher densities help to reduce land take and contribute to the viability of local services and public transport, and, as a general rule, the council will encourage higher density housing developments within and adjacent to town centres, adjacent to public transport facilities and along key transportation corridors where appropriate.

Delivering high density development must not, however, be at the expense of amenity and the quality of the environment, resulting in a reduction of space in and around dwellings and giving rise to what has come to be known as **town cramming**. Developments must always provide for adequate private and public amenity space, circulation and good pedestrian and cycle accessibility and connectivity to local facilities. And where the local context suggests a lower density response, then high density development is unlikely to be appropriate.

Uniform densities across a development are rarely successful and should generally be avoided, particularly within larger scale developments where a range of house types should be employed to encourage diversity. The appropriate density for a specific site will vary and will be assessed on merit, taking into account the character of the site, its size, adjacent densities and traffic and services considerations.

Appendix 6.1 of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan* 2009 does however identify notional capacities for the allocated housing sites. For new housing sites, excluding the mixed use sites within the Core Development Areas (CDAs), they have been categorised as either high density (45 units per hectare), medium density (30 units per hectare) or low density (15 units per hectare) depending on their location and site characteristics.

To sustain local services and public transport, minimise land take for new development and promote social inclusion, new housing developments within the CDAs are expected to provide a diversity of house types, tenures and densities, and within the mixed use areas listed in policies CDA 7 - CDA 9, net housing densities should average at least 25 residential units per hectare.

Density measures can be useful planning tools at the beginning of a project, and can be used for assessing outcomes at the end. However, there is often confusion about the various measures of density, what they describe, and how they should be used. It is, therefore, important that there should be clarity and agreement on the base land area calculation - i.e. what is included and what is excluded. For the purpose of calculating and indicating density, measurement should be based on the gross site area and **not** an approximation of what might otherwise be termed the *developable* area. Furthermore, measurements should be expressed in hectares.



## Tenure and housing mix

Developments are known to be more successful when they avoid large concentrations of housing of the same type. Furthermore, and particularly in the case of large developments, mixed tenure, containing private market housing, social housing, rented accommodation and shared ownership properties are desirable for the creation of balanced and sustainable communities and addressing the wider development plan objectives of the council.

Developers will ordinarily be required to provide a range of house sizes and types, which provide for the housing needs of a cross section of the population and facilitate a broad mixture of households of different ages and economic status. Developers should take account of the council's housing need assessment in determining the appropriate mix of housing.

Particular attention is drawn to the council's policies on affordable housing, amplified in a separate SPG. The most current iteration of the guidance can be found on the council's website.



Scottish Planning Policy and Planning Advice Note (PAN) 2/2010, Affordable housing and housing land audits make the point that affordable housing ought to be, as far as possible, indistinguishable from the general mix of other houses on a site in terms of style and layout, ideally concentrated in small groups, and not, as sometimes happened in the past, consigned to the periphery of the development. These requirements are equally applicable to new house building initiatives undertaken by the council and other social housing providers.

Developments of all sizes should also consider opportunities for accommodating compatible non-residential uses of appropriate scale in accessible locations to serve existing and potential needs without increasing reliance on the car.

# Scale, height and massing

Scale it is not a precise measurement and determining the appropriate scale, heights and massing of new developments will depend upon the following:

- the location of the site;
- the physical characteristics and conditions of the site;
- the scale and proportion of the surroundings; and
- the relationship with adjoining buildings, the spaces around them, the topography,
   the general patterns of heights in the area, views and landmarks.

The massing, meaning the three-dimensional expression of the amount of development on a site, and height, should not overshadow, overlook and overwhelm any adjacent buildings and spaces. Particularly in larger developments, building heights should be varied in order to add visual interest and break up the overall mass of the development.

#### Plot coverage

There are differing definitions of what plot coverage means in the development industry. For the purpose of this guidance it should be interpreted quite specifically as a measure of the proportional relationship between the built footprint of a house (including all integral and detached garages) and the area of the plot on which it stands. It is a useful tool to help control the bulk and mass of buildings, avoid town cramming and in establishing the characteristics of density and privacy.

In order to prevent sites being over-developed and to leave sufficient open space around a new dwelling for outdoor activity and for possible future extensions, the following plot ratio standards will apply to new residential developments:

- for detached and semi-detached dwellings, the proportion of plot area to building footprint should be 70:30
- for terraced houses the minimum proportion of plot area to building footprint should be 60:40

These figures should, however, be regarded as averages for the development site as a whole and some variation within a development is permissible in order to accommodate choice and achieve diversity.

# **Design and access statements**

Many of the foregoing considerations can be expressed through the preparation of a design and access statement, often a written document, but it can include drawings, annotated plans and photographs illustrating the various issues which the scheme has responded to.

Design and access statements help applicants to properly consider all relevant policies as well as the site's constraints and opportunities at the time of making a planning application. They can provide an effective and useful way to discuss a proposal throughout the design process, but more particularly when presented at the pre-application discussion stage of more complicated or challenging sites. This enables the council and other consultees to properly understand and give consideration to the particular proposals.

A statement should explain and justify in a structured way the design principles and concepts that have been applied to particular aspects of a proposal - these are the number of proposed residential units, layout, scale, landscaping and appearance of the development. And it should demonstrate that the proposal has been based and developed upon an understanding of the site in question, its local context and the constraints and opportunities that these provide. Where the development proposed is within or adjacent to a conservation area, the statement should demonstrate, with photographs, drawings and sketches, how the proposal relates to the particular character of the conservation area.

The access component of the statement relates to *access to the development* and should explain how the design ensures that all users will have equal and convenient access to it.

The approach used will be influenced by the scale, nature, complexity and potential sensitivity of the site and of the proposed development. What is important is that statements are concise and takes a proportionate approach, while effectively covering all of the design and access issues relative to the proposed development.

It should also be remembered that as they will be available alongside the application for planning permission for anyone to read, they should avoid jargon or overly technical language.

The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2008 introduced a statutory requirement for a design and access statement to be submitted with certain types of planning application for national and major development and these criterion are explained in Appendix 1, entitled New planning application procedures.

The council believes that such statements could also be useful when processing planning applications for more modest residential development falling within the local category of development, and it may invite developers to submit a design and access statement. It is hoped that developers will engage in this process and recognise the benefits of doing so.

In preparing statements, applicants and their agents are encouraged to have regard to the advice contained in Planning Advice Note (PAN) 68, *Design Statements* or any future iteration of this guidance.

#### **DESIGN AND LAYOUT DETAILS**



### House design

To a very large extent, the house building industry in the UK is dependent on the use of standardised house types and new private sector housing has, for the most part, come to be regarded as a mass produced product.

While the economics of this are well understood, and it is acknowledged that standardisation has helped bring about improvements to consistency, reliability and build quality, there is always a danger that a *one size fits all* approach can end up delivering bland and characterless developments. It is therefore essential that the house types chosen are, at the very least, sympathetic to the locality of a given site, have full regard to their role in the making of streetscapes and the creation of places, (as well as taking account of other factors in *Designing Places* and *Designing Streets*) and are as varied and diverse as practicable.

Traditional houses are composed of simple forms, normally rectangular with the pitched roofs spanning the narrower plan dimension. The main problem with contemporary housing is that they are often composed of too many elements and are set to a uniform building line and spaced at too regular an interval.

Developments that are inward looking and turn their back on their surroundings should, for the most part, also be avoided. As a general rule, dwelling frontages, windows and entrances should face and overlook streets and public space. In many cases, direct frontage access will be possible. Where it is not, single sided development may sometimes be necessary to achieve an attractive frontage.

*Setback* refers to the distance that a building is located from its boundaries to the street and to neighbouring properties. Building setbacks should respect the character of the local area and the setback of other buildings in the street.

The setback of a dwelling from the street can have a significant impact on the character of the street. Generally, setback should be smaller (0 - 2m) where a more urban, higher density, pedestrian friendly character is to be achieved. In lower density areas, building lines (and therefore setbacks) can be more variable.

The principal entry point of larger development sites (primarily those with more than 100 dwellings and built out by a single developer) should be made a distinctive feature in order to enhance its identity. This could embrace the public art requirements which are set out in SPG on the subject later in this document. Adambrae in Livingston is highlighted as a good case in point.



#### **Roads and streets**

In 2010, the Scottish Government issued significant new policy guidance on street design entitled *Designing Streets*. It reinforces the link between roads engineering, planning and urban design and promotes the need to pursue a design-led approach to street design that takes into account site-specific requirements and moves away from a rigid application of standards.

It provides a framework for more collaborative working and significantly raises the importance of pre-application meetings and discussion between developers, their agents and officers of the council.

Designing Streets supports the Scottish Government's place-making agenda and is intended to sit alongside an earlier planning policy document Designing Places. In so far as it emphasises the importance of the connectivity and interrelationship of streets to other networks, for example the green network, it is also consistent with the objectives of the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) which the council fully subscribes to and champions.

Designing Places represents a fundamental change in emphasis, away from a system where the principal focus has previously been on the functions of streets as corridors for motor vehicles, and instead calls for them to be made places in their own right. While this creates opportunities for new and innovative layouts, it also presents challenges and the onus will be on developers to demonstrate the appropriateness of their proposals.

It is anticipated that the appraisal of new and novel street layouts by the council's transportation engineers is likely to be a detailed and, occasionally time consuming exercise. It would therefore be to the benefit of developers to initiate contact with planning and roads officers at the earliest possible opportunity, preferably before a layout has even been commissioned.

Residential streets must be designed as pedestrian friendly places, not just as a means of getting from one place to another by car or a place to park cars. That is, they should be designed as places for people, not places predominantly for cars. It is important that street design encourages social interaction and consideration should be given to the use of shared surfaces for vehicles and pedestrians.

The principles for achieving pedestrian friendly streets are:

- to start by thinking about the place rather than the car;
- designing streets so that pedestrians and cyclists feel safe;
- design to minimise clutter; and
- design for easy maintenance.

Designing Streets confers the highest priority on meeting the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users, so that growth in these modes of travel



is encouraged in line with national and local sustainable transport policy. Developers should therefore be aiming to create compact, walkable neighbourhoods with routes that link up with modes of public transport to help reduce reliance on the car.

While a formal and prescriptive hierarchy of street typologies no longer forms part of the guidance, in so far as urban development spaces linked with buildings and supporting a range of uses is concerned, practical consideration of the likely users (and level of use) of each street and place must of course still be taken into account and the council will need to be convinced of the practicalities of layouts which are proposed.

The council's Transportation Manager will provide guidance on the local context within which the principles of *Designing Streets* can be applied in order to encourage more thought and consideration of the principles of place and movement in the design of new development.

#### **Materials**

The long-term appearance of buildings and their impact on the character of the area is greatly influenced by the type of external materials used.

There should be a clear and defined rationale behind the selection and use of materials within a development and the council expects all developers to produce a materials palette.

The selection of materials for new developments should:

- generally respect and complement the range of materials prevalent in the surrounding area to ensure coherence, particularly on smaller developments or in sensitive locations; and
- be good quality and low maintenance for an attractive yet enduring appearance with the key considerations being durability, water run-off and the ability to withstand weathering.

Innovative use of materials, especially when associated with sustainability and energy efficiency, is encouraged. When selecting construction materials, preference should be given to:

- naturally renewable materials, for example timber and timber products certified by the Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC);
- reused materials such as locally available demolition materials available from local
   West Lothian bings for foundations, paths etc;
- materials with a high recycled content such as plastics; and
- locally produced and sourced materials (to minimise transport costs).



# **Boundary treatments**

The choice of boundary treatments must be appropriate and sympathetic to their function. For example they:

- help to define space;
- provide security;
- create a link between the buildings and landscape;
- provide a barrier between private and public uses; and
- influence the microclimate depending upon the type of treatment.

Attractive walls and railings at site entrances and within estates at key locations will be encouraged while long sections of unrelieved garden fencing in prominent locations should be avoided.

In developments with grass service strips, fencing or other physical boundary treatments should be avoided. Developers are required to make it clear in the title deeds that service strips are in the ownership of the property owners and that owners are responsible for their maintenance.

Rear gardens which face onto roads and footpaths are particularly conspicuous and should be afforded enhanced treatment, including the use of soft landscaping.

To add interest, colour and variety to a residential development, hedge planting may substitute for fencing. However fast growing conifers will not ordinarily be permitted. Instead, beech or hawthorn hedging forms good boundary screening.

# **Community safety**

Ensuring a safe and more secure environment is fundamental to creating successful residential developments and must be considered during the early stages of the design and planning process.



The following measures can make a significant contribution:

- there should be a clear definition of public, semi-public and private spaces by the inclusion of appropriate boundary, surfaces and entrance treatments:
- layouts should avoid the creation of hiding places;
- developments should contain a variety of house types, attracting a mixture of people with different life styles to help achieve continuous surveillance;
- dwellings should be grouped to allow mutual supervision;
- dwellings should be designed so that windows and doors face onto the street and create active frontages that allow overlooking to occur;
- there should be surveillance of parking areas and open spaces with dwellings fronting onto these areas;
- footpath links into developments should be designed to avoid excessive and unsupervised escape options and long sections of enclosed alleyways;
- footpaths running between the back of dwellings should be avoided;
- footpath routes should be direct, with pedestrians able to view the full length of the path on entry;
- lighting should have an even spread of illumination that avoids pools of light and shadow; and
- landscape schemes should be designed with community safety in mind and as a general rule, shrub planting adjacent to footpaths should not exceed 1m in height.

Further guidance is available in Planning Advice Note (PAN) 77, Designing Safer Places.

It has been demonstrated that the opportunities for crime can be significantly reduced through good thoughtful design and West Lothian Council is pleased to support *Secured by Design* (SBD).

SBD is a police initiative that encourages the development industry to adopt a series of crime prevention methods that assist in reducing the opportunity for crime and the fear of crime.

It focuses on crime prevention being planned into developments at the design, layout and construction stage and promotes the use of security standards for a wide range of applications and products. Developers who gain SBD certification often benefit from a significant marketing advantage.

For more information and advice on how to build to SBD specifications and reducing crime through environmental design, developers and their agents are encouraged to contact the Architectural Liaison Officer (ALO) assigned to Lothian and Borders Police. ALOs can provide information on the local crime profile of an area so that appropriate crime prevention measures can be established. Practical measures and advice can also be given.

All planning applications for residential development should demonstrate how security and crime prevention measures have been considered.

# **Miscellaneous provisions**

It shall be the responsibility of developers to supply and install street name signs, grit storage bins, litter waste bins and dog fouling waste bins within all new residential developments, as and where deemed appropriate by the council. This will be secured by a condition of planning permission.

There are specific standards, specifications and positioning requirements for these items which must be adhered to, and prior to undertaking their procurement and installation, it is necessary that developers seek advice and agree their proposals with the particular council service area. The relevant contact details are:

- Street signage: 01506 776633
- Grit storage bins: 01506 776536
- Litter waste bins / dog fouling waste bins: 01506 776604



The council's powers to control development are set out in the various planning acts and their accompanying regulations. There are, however, a number of issues in the development of new housing that the council recognises that it has no control over but nevertheless wishes to encourage developers to consider. These are set out below.

The maintenance of the fabric of a development is important in so far as it has direct consequences for amenity, physical appearance and indeed the value of properties. Flats pose particular issues with regard to ongoing maintenance and running costs, for example door entry systems, stair lighting and elevators. While there is currently no legal requirement for a factor to be appointed, the council considers it good practice for developers to do so and would wish to see evidence of this presented with the planning submission.

- Clear numbering and identifying flats and houses is good practice;
- The council encourages higher noise insulation standards than those set out in the Building Standards regulations to try and minimise future noise disturbance. (The council's environmental health services advises that tests should be done with materials that are bonded down and which cannot subsequently be removed).
- Utility meters should be discretely located to avoid being a dominant element on principal elevations;
- Substations should be located and designed with sensitivity to the visual and environmental amenity of their immediate surroundings;
- External pipework and cable runs at the front of the property should be avoided;
   and
- Communal satellite receivers and/or cable ducting should be provided in flatted developments where practicable.

#### **Green infrastructure**



Green infrastructure is the component parts of a network of green spaces, new and existing, rural and urban, which supports the natural and ecological processes and which also contribute to the health and quality of life of sustainable communities.

While green infrastructure is mainly associated with strategic masterplanning, concerned with major cross boundary issues, it actually has a very practical relevance to much lower levels of planning, right down to the design of individual neighbourhoods and streets.

SPP indicates that linking greenspaces in and around settlements through green networks can deliver benefits for people and nature. By encouraging connectivity between habitats, green networks can improve the viability of species and the health and viability of previously isolated habitats and ecosystems, supporting adaptation to climate change. Wherever possible, planning authorities and developers should identify opportunities to create and enhance networks between open spaces and avoid fragmentation.

It is important that any existing green space is respected and that existing landscape features be incorporated and enhanced within new housing developments. The spaces around and between buildings and the wider green network are just as important to consider in the design process as the houses themselves.

Green space has the potential to enhance local landscape character, protect and enhance local biodiversity and offers opportunities for recreation and for accommodating sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDs).

Green infrastructure can also play a role in making streets pedestrian, cycle and vehicle-friendly. For example, street trees can be incorporated as a traffic calming measure which also help soften the street scene by creating visual interest, improving the microclimate and providing valuable wildlife habitats. Vegetation can be used to limit traffic speeds by limiting excessive forward visibility, whilst still maintaining appropriate driver sightlines.



When designing a new housing development, regardless of the scale, it is important that all of the participants think more laterally about how the many diverse elements of a scheme can best fit together so that the sum of the whole makes a greater environmental contribution than the sum of its parts. And opportunities for incorporating green infrastructure within a development that connects to the wider green infrastructure network beyond the site should be fully explored and considered. In practical terms, a *multi discipline* approach is encouraged where different aspects of a proposal can be pulled together.

Early consideration of green infrastructure allows developers to meet many of the statutory requirements within a development scheme whilst benefiting many other social, economic and environmental objectives. A network of well-designed and managed greenspaces and links can make a significant contribution to creating a distinctive identity and sense of place.

#### **The Central Scotland Green Network**

The protection and development of green networks is increasingly seen as crucial to sustainable economic development and quality of life in Scotland. As a consequence, the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) is one of 14 national developments designated in the *National Planning Framework for Scotland 2* (NPF2) - published in June 2009 and carried over to *Draft National Planning Framework for Scotland 3* (NPF3) which was published in April 2013.

The aim of the CSGN initiative is to deliver nothing less than a step change in the quality of the environment, woodland cover and recreational opportunities across Central Scotland. It is also charged with helping to increase levels of economic activity and improve the health and wellbeing of the population.

Change is to be achieved through the establishment of strategic and local networks of *green* (and also *blue* spaces) in towns and cities with the wider countryside and the coast. These include parks, public spaces, gardens, woodlands, hedgerows, rivers, streams, ponds, wetlands and man-made structures such as canals and *sustainable urban drainage systems* and existing path and cycle networks.

It is envisaged that this network will address a range of natural heritage and environmental objectives which in turn support social and economic ones by improving the setting for development and investment, enhancing provision of outdoor recreation and a range of cultural activity and providing opportunities for new business.

To succeed, it requires public agencies and stakeholders to work together to align their policies, programmes and actions to restore and improve the rural and urban landscape throughout Central Scotland.

It is proposed that the CSGN is achieved, in part, through the delivery of well-designed

development which protects and improves the local environment and which at the same time helps to secure key *green* or *blue* connections.

West Lothian Council has a statutory obligation to take account of the NPF, and, in any event, actively supports the CSGN.Therefore, when



processing planning applications for new residential development, it will seek to promote and secure contributions to and benefits from the national and local green networks where this is legitimate and considered appropriate to do so.

#### Other layout considerations

The provision of useable and appropriate private and public amenity space is a necessary component of all residential proposals and this is discussed in more detail in a subsequent chapter of this document. However, as a general rule, open spaces must have a clearly defined identity and purpose and attention must be afforded as to how they are linked, particularly with regard to contributing to the wider aims of the CSGN.

A conscious effort must be made at the design stage to avoid what is sometimes referred to as SLOAP (space left over after planning). These are often irregular defined areas of open space which have no clearly defined purpose, are inaccessible, cannot be satisfactorily maintained and generally make little or no contributions to the overall development.

All layouts must incorporate the space and design requirements of the necessary sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDs) scheme and satisfactory on site provision must be made for refuse and recycling storage. These requirements need to be taken account of and embraced into the site layout and design of all new development from the outset.

Layouts should ordinarily be designed so that excessive re-grading is not required. Details of any site re-grading works (incorporating before and after contours) must be submitted at the time a planning application is made.



Within the larger developments and, particularly those within the CDA's, land should be identified and safeguarded to accommodate neighbourhood shops and local services for the new and expanded communities, ideally at an early juncture.

The dearth of genuinely local retail provision in some existing developments, or significant delays in its provisioning, is a recurring complaint when house buyer satisfaction surveys are analysed.

While recognising that there are invariably commercial considerations to take account of, the council is, nevertheless, keen to encourage developers to identify, safeguard and promote land that is capable of accommodating neighbourhood shops and/or local services, particularly in the CDAs and other larger development sites.

#### **AMENITY AND PRIVACY**

Amenity and privacy are important *quality of life* factors and it is essential that when planning and designing new residential developments proper and sensitive consideration is given to maintaining access to natural light, outlook and privacy for the occupants of adjoining dwellings and the intended occupants of new dwellings.


#### **Daylight**

New development should not cause an unacceptable loss of daylight to habitable rooms of existing neighbouring properties and all new dwellings must also receive an adequate amount of daylight. For the purpose of this guidance, habitable rooms are defined as a

living room, bedroom and dining room. Non-habitable rooms include bathrooms, utility rooms, staircases, halls, landings, etc.

The orientation and position of windows and the location of gardens in relation to a proposed new development are especially important considerations and new dwellings must be designed with this in mind.

Technical calculations can be undertaken to determine whether daylighting to existing buildings will be adversely affected, and if there is any suggestion that new housing could cause excessive loss of light or overshadowing of neighbouring properties, applicants



may be required to support their proposals. Specific assessment methods are set out in the Building Research Establishment Report *Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice* 2<sup>nd</sup> edition by P J Littlefair (2011), demonstrating both before and after circumstances in order to ensure acceptable interior and exterior conditions.

## Sunlight

New development should not cause an unacceptable loss of sunlight to neighbouring properties and their gardens and all new dwellings and their private gardens must also be adequately sunlit.

Proposals that would result in the loss of sunlight, leading to overshadowing for a significant part of the day, or which would have a visually intrusive impact, will also not be supported. It is an established planning principle that new development should not *borrow* amenity from adjacent land, and, as a general rule, the greater part of any overshadowing caused by a new building must be confined to the developers own land.

New dwellings should be constructed to take advantage of sunlight to provide a pleasant living environment and to maximise solar gain. This can be achieved by positioning main living areas, conservatories and rear gardens to generally face south or south-west where practicable.

It is, however, important to note that while housing layouts should be designed to maximise daylight and sunlight to dwellings, this should not be to the exclusion of other considerations such as privacy or the achievement of more intimate and attractive streetscapes. As in all things, it is a matter of achieving the right balance.

# **Distances between buildings**

Privacy in the home is a fundamental necessity for most people, particularly as far as the lounge, dining room, kitchen and principal bedrooms are concerned.

Although space in new housing developments is often at a premium, individual dwellings must be sited and designed in such a way that provides the maximum amount of privacy for the benefit of occupants, and, at the same time, avoids over-shadowing and minimises the physical dominance of new development over neighbouring dwellings.

It is therefore appropriate to prescribe minimum standards controlling the distance between buildings, and the following dimensions will apply for single and two storey buildings:

Front	Rear	Rear	Front	Side to side distances		
to front	to rear	to side	to side			
distances	distances	distances	distances			
18m	18m	12m	15m	A minimum of 1m either side of the mutual boundary will be expected. If there is a minor window on a gable (serving a hall, stair or landing etc), a minimum of 4m between buildings should be provided.		

Where the height of a building is greater than two storey, the separation distance between buildings may require to be increased to ensure that daylighting standards are met.

Where developments are affected by significant changes of level, developers may be required to submit details of existing and proposed ground and finished floor levels and to demonstrate that reasonable internal privacy can be satisfactorily achieved.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the council recognises that adherence to minimum distances between buildings can discourage innovative design and reinforce the use of standard layouts. Consequently, the council will not ordinarily impose these standards on dwellings which face each other across an adopted residential street and it will be prepared to consider a relaxation of separation distances in circumstances where it is presented with innovative solutions that can be employed to maintain privacy including: varied floor levels; staggered facing windows; using louvers or opaque glazing; and high/low level and shaped windows. It does however reserve the right to rely on these standards should proposals prove otherwise unsatisfactory.

#### **Distances to boundaries**

New buildings close to plot boundaries, particularly flats, can also be intrusive when seen from existing gardens or from within existing dwellings. The following minimum dimensions will therefore apply, measured from the nearest point of the rear elevation of the development to the nearest boundary:

Single and two storey	Three storey	Four storey	Five storey	Greater than five storey
9m	11m	13m	15m	Development above five storeys will be judged on its merits but the distance shall not ordinarily be less than 15m.

These dimensions may similarly be relaxed, but again, only where it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that residential and environmental amenity will not suffer for either the new or existing buildings. The council reserves the right to rely on these standards should proposals prove otherwise unsatisfactory.

In order to avoid town cramming and the terracing effect of dwellings being sited too close together, a minimum distance of 1m should ordinarily be provided between the dwelling and the boundary.



#### **Distances between windows**

New dwellings must also be sensitively positioned to ensure that windows in principal elevations, above ground floor level, do not directly overlook neighbouring property.

In general, a minimum 18m privacy zone should be maintained between windows of habitable rooms that are directly opposite each other. However, the council encourages imaginative design solutions and in doing so may accept the need for a flexible approach to privacy distances between new dwellings within a development site where a satisfactory design solution has been employed to retain privacy and protect existing residents' amenity: If buildings are separated by a public road, the above standards may also be relaxed.

The council may also choose to apply the above standards more flexibly, depending on the context of the site e.g. in conservation areas where back-to-back distances are characteristically less than those detailed above. It does however reserve the right to rely on these standards should the proposals prove otherwise unsatisfactory.

Where windows are at an angle to each other, the minimum distance can be reduced in accordance with the following table:

		An	Angle (in degrees) at window of building to be erected not more than								
		90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0							0		
	90	18	18	18	18	13	9	6	4	3	2
	80	18	18	18	13	9	6	4	3	2	
Degree of	70	18	18	13	9	6	4	3	2		
angle at	60	18	13	9	6	4	3	2			
window of 50	50	13	9	6	4	3	2				
any other building	40	9	6	4	3	2					
not more	30	6	4	3	2						
than	20	4	3	2							
	10	3	2								
	0	2									

**Note:** 1. Angle means the horizontal angle between:

- the shortest line joining any part of one window opening to any of the other
- the vertical pane of the opening window
- 2. Distances shall be interpreted for intermediate angles;

# **Internal floorspace**

Internal space provision is routinely criticised by occupiers responding to consumer surveys of new housing developments and it has been shown that the UK has by far the smallest newly built dwellings and average room sizes in Western Europe.

Adequate space in and around new houses and flats is important and in order to protect the amenity and well-being of the occupants, each dwelling should be adequate for the family or household which is likely to occupy it. New housing is expected to be big enough to meet the needs of the occupants for living, cooking, dining, sleeping, washing and storage of household items with convenient access to adequate residential amenity space.

Developers are encouraged to provide more generously proportioned houses which will also allow them to be adapted to meet the changing needs of families over time and considerably extending their useful life and contribute to sustainability.

# Other amenity/privacy considerations

The council will seek to guide new residential development to the most appropriate locations where external/environmental noise should not be an issue, and this subject matter is specifically addressed in a later section (Noise).

However noise -(or unwanted sound as it is best defined) that can be transmitted between residential properties, particularly flats and semi-detached dwellings, is a well documented source of irritation and stress that can have a significant and detrimental effect on the quality of life enjoyed by people in their homes.

Party walls and floors must therefore be adequately sound insulated as part of the standard build specification to ensure acoustic separation between dwellings, and while the Scottish Building Standards identify minimum statutory requirements in this respect, the council encourages developers to consider adopting higher standards.

The design and internal layout of new houses and flats should also be conceived to minimize problems such as noise, fumes and vibration from adjacent roads and activities that can spoil the enjoyment and privacy of dwellings and their gardens.

#### **GARDENS AND PRIVATE OPEN SPACE**

Gardens are an essential part of the amenities of any residential development and it is important that all detached, semi-detached and terraced houses are provided with an enclosed private garden.

Gardens should satisfactorily reflect the size and type of dwelling proposed, the size of plot and the general character of the area in which the development is located. They should be functional and capable of providing adequate private space, reasonably level (not having a gradient exceeding 25 degrees), not overlooked by others, suitable for sitting out, children's play, the drying of laundry, the storage of household refuse and also have some capacity for facilitating an extension of the property at a future date.

For the purpose of this guidance, usable private garden ground is defined as being land that is under the exclusive control of the applicant and within the curtilage of the dwelling. It should only include ground that has been adequately screened, usually to the rear and side of the property, and driveways and vehicle hard standings should be excluded from the calculation.

The council will not require developers to apply uniform standard garden sizes across an entire residential development since it is recognised that a degree of flexibility is necessary in order to facilitate varied and more interesting layouts. Nevertheless, the following guidelines are provided as indicators of average minimum standards and these will be used by the council when assessing the general appropriateness of garden provision within a development.

All houses with five and more bedrooms	not less than 100m <sup>2</sup>
Three and four bedroomed detached and semi-detached houses	not less than 80m <sup>2</sup>
Two bedroomed detached and semi-detached houses	not less than 60m <sup>2</sup>
Terraced houses	not less than 50m <sup>2</sup>

All of these figures exclude any garage area and assume a minimum rear depth of 9m. This should allow for a drying area and play/amenity space. Furthermore, proposals that arithmetically achieve the specified area of private garden ground, but only by aggregating an assortment of irregular pieces of land, i.e. narrow strips or verges to the side of the dwelling or ground which is significantly sloping, will not be deemed acceptable.

Dwellings specifically designed for single people or for the elderly may justify moderately less garden ground and will be considered as an exception on a case by case basis. Provision may also be relaxed in conservation areas and other situations where, for townscape reasons, less onerous requirements can be satisfactorily justified.

Proposals for sites that cannot provide adequate private garden space or would result in over intensive residential use will not be supported.



While occupiers of flatted developments generally do not seek or expect the same level of garden amenity space as house dwellers they should ideally still have access to amenity open space, particularly as there are often many families with young children living in flatted accommodation.

#### **OPEN SPACE**

Open space is an essential component of any new residential development. Not only does it make a significant contribution to its physical character, establishing the setting of new homes and enhancing visual amenity, it can also help to introduce life and vibrancy into communities, provide opportunities for recreation and contribute to a sustainable natural environment. It must however be considered as part of the overall design and layout of a new development and most definitely **not as an afterthought**.

Provision of public realm/open space is considered to be an integral part of creating a good quality development environment, and the consequent land requirements or financial implications that this implies should be fully taken into account by developers when carrying out site appraisals. It is reasonable to expect that new developments will meet the open space needs generated by their development, with public open space

provided or paid for by the developer and this should be recognised as a development cost by the industry and reflected in the price paid for land.

It is the council's objective to encourage the provision and enhancement of open space through the planning system, recognising that there is a need to ensure there is adequate provision of open space for recreational and for amenity purpose to serve new residential developments and that those areas are properly managed and maintained.

National policy guidance on open space and recreational facilities is contained in SPP and Planning Advice Note (PAN) 65, *Planning and Open Space*.

SPP supports on and off site provision, depending on the specific site circumstances. It expects open spaces to be well designed, built to a high standard, fit for purpose and capable of use regardless of peoples' age, gender or disabilities.

SPP also encourages planning authorities and developers to identify opportunities to create and enhance green networks between open spaces as an integral part of the overall development proposals and presents an opportunity to further the goals of the CSGN discussed earlier.

PAN 65 identifies a typology of open space and suggests different approaches to assessing future requirements depending on the type of open space. The adopted *West Lothian Local Plan* is consistent with this approach in so far as it acknowledges that open space provision will vary depending on local circumstances, including proximity of existing provision.

It is also important that proper arrangements are in place for the long term management of any proposed open space, landscaping and other common facilities.

Open space in new residential areas essentially comprises three elements:

- Gardens and private open space;
- Active open space (including informal play/recreational space, equipped play areas and sports pitches); and
- Passive open space (including amenity greenspace / landscaped areas providing visual or separating different buildings or land uses, green corridors and areas of undeveloped or previously developed land with residual natural habitats).

Garden provision has already been addressed. This section of the guide is dedicated to the remaining elements.

## **Active open space**

In Scotland, there is an absence of a nationally recognised set of standards for open space.

In common with many other local authorities, West Lothian Council adheres to a mechanism loosely based on *The Six Acre Standard*, a publication from the National Playing Field Association (now Fields in Trust) and latterly reissued under the new name *Planning and Design for Outdoor Sport and Play*. It is essentially a minimum provision for outdoor play space of 2.4 hectares (6 acres) per 1,000 people, and while it continues to be regarded as an



appropriate quantitative indicator, it does not address the equally important issues allied to the type and quality of provision.

Active open space requirements for new residential development have traditionally been predicated on the number of new houses to be built, and this alone has dictated the level of open space provision developers have been required to make on a particular site. However, it has been observed that adherence to this basic formulaic approach has often resulted in only low level local provision being achieved, typically an equipped play space for younger children. For the most part, it has failed to deliver quality

open spaces, such as game playing areas, places where people can simply get out of doors, relax, walk the dog and meet one another or public parks.

The main flaw identified with the previous approach is that it has not taken sufficient account of local circumstances and has not been designed to remedy deficiencies identified in a particular settlement or neighbourhood.

It is also recognised that residents are not, in the main, overly keen to have active open space, especially play space, located in close proximity to their homes (for fear of nuisance) and they often feel aggrieved that the facilities which have been provided are used or frequented by children from outwith the new development and who are (wrongly) considered not entitled to use them. On occasion this has resulted in conflict between residents and third parties.

Taking account of all these factors, the council has reconsidered what should be provided by developers in terms of active open space and how it should be paid for and maintained.

It has decided to adopt a significantly different approach, one which has been deliberately conceived to be more responsive to local needs and more holistic in nature. It is explicitly

aligned with the council's *Open Space Strategy*, a strategy that provides the framework for forward planning to cater for the needs of the population as a whole through a system of public parks, amenity open spaces and sports pitches / facilities.

The council has developed a detailed understanding of open space on a settlement by settlement basis, and this resource has been used to inform this new approach. It has enabled the council to identify areas where open spaces are in good supply, where they are needed and where the quality of the open spaces offered could be improved.

At its heart is the recognition that new residential development imposes greater pressure and burdens on whatever open space provision there may already be in the locality of the development site, in addition to generating its own requirement.

The new approach is, therefore, primarily designed to re-provide what is being diminished and to enhance, augment and make the most of existing open spaces and play facilities for the benefit of both new and established communities wherever this is possible.

For provision to be effective, it needs to be based on an appraisal of what is actually required in a geographical area and not just meeting an arbitrary threshold, as the previous strategy has done. There is an overwhelming need to see *the bigger picture* and to move away from providing random, disconnected facilities on a piecemeal basis.

With few exceptions, most of the towns and villages in West Lothian already have established areas of active open space and play facilities which serve these communities and it is recognised that they have the potential of also serving new residential developments within the identified catchment areas of the community.



Currently, however, these sites tend to be under-specified and may be unable to cope with the increased usage that new development would place on them without investment and refurbishment to bring them up to current standards.

There is now a realisation that it is not always necessary, or best, for open spaces to be provided within new developments. Some developments are too small and some areas are already well served by good quality open spaces. In these circumstances open space contributions could be best directed to established facilities and the wider Green Network which will come under greater use as a result of new residential development. The council would, therefore, rather see new development contributing to the improvement in quality of existing open space than the provision of new areas.

It makes more sense to invest in the sites and facilities which already exist rather than unnecessarily replicate them. Crucially, this also means that a more modest capital investment is ultimately required to realise the creation of the more difficult to achieve areas of open space, such as neighbourhood parks. By rationalising, consolidating and growing the provision of active open space in this manner there are significant efficiency and benefits to be had, and in the present challenging economic climate it behoves all parties to maximise benefits and minimise costs.

Importantly, it provides a new level of certainty for developers. It enables them to establish the likely contributions they will be required to make at an early stage in the development process, and by paying over a pre-determined sum of money they will derive benefit from being relieved of the inconvenience and expense of having to engage design professionals, procure play equipment from suppliers and secure insurance liability. Factoring and/or maintenance arrangements can also be significantly reduced. At the same time, the occupants of the new houses will have less burdens and responsibility for the facilities usually present within a new development.

From a practical point of view, it resolves the conflict of interests which can arise when trying to identify appropriate locations for play facilities that are sufficiently close to houses but not so close as to give rise to nuisance. This has been a particularly difficult issue for residents, developers and council officials alike as alluded to earlier.

It also means that developments can be laid out more efficiently as a consequence of not having to always accommodate active open space and play facilities on site and this holds out the prospect of being able to facilitate a modest increase in the number of dwellings, which in some instances could more than offset the required financial contributions.

For the council, this new approach is consistent with its declared vision of providing for high quality open spaces that contribute to the quality of life and quality of environment and which help to support economic prosperity, sustainable communities, and the delivery of Best Value for all and it goes a long way towards achieving the key aims of the *Open Space Strategy*. It also resonates with, and helps to secure, the outcomes of the CSGN.

Open space within new developments should not be viewed in complete isolation. Of just as much importance are the connections between open spaces as these can enhance the opportunities for biodiversity and access to the wider open space network. Where

possible, so called *green corridors* should be used to connect the open spaces and the countryside beyond.


There have always been costs associated with the provision of open space and play facilities and the development industry is accustomed to dealing with such matters when carrying out site appraisals and by reflecting development costs in the price paid for the land. It is suggested that these new arrangements are taken account of in much the same way.

## **General provision**

Developers will be required to address active open space as follows:

There will be an initial presumption in favour of trying to satisfy active open space requirements (including play space) associated with a proposed residential development through the upgrading of any existing facilities in the immediate locality, i.e. off site. The council's Open Space Strategy will be used to identify where off-site open space provision is more appropriate and the areas where financial contributions towards off-site provision will be invested.

This will, however, always be dependent on the site specific requirements of the



Open Space Strategy assumes 0.5 km as being the maximum walking distance to play facilities and a local park and 1 km to a neighbourhood park. Where this is not practicable or desirable, the council reserves the right to require on site provision at a level to be determined on a case by case basis and in consultation with the NETs and Land Services Manager and will be intimated to developers at the earliest opportunity. Developers will, of course, have to make their own arrangements for maintenance and will thereafter be responsible for their assets.

- It is also envisaged that there may occasionally be instances where there are compelling reasons to satisfy open space provision on site. Such a scenario could arise, for example, when significant parts of a site are physically or uneconomically developable for housing but might otherwise satisfactorily function as open space. In these clearly defined circumstances the council may, on request, be prepared to waive the applicable standard financial contributions that would ordinarily have been payable, accepting a reduced payment of £800 per dwelling in situations where only off site play provision was to be provided for (this figure will be subject to periodic review).
- There may also be situations where it is desirable, perhaps for design associated reasons, to have some element of open space provided on site, but with the balance of provision being met by investment in off site facilities. In these circumstances, the standard financial contribution payable by developers would be proportionately reduced / discounted to recognise these arrangements.

- Except in areas where there is an identified surplus of active open space (deemed by the council to satisfy the requirements of a new development), or in Core Development Areas (CDAs) where other provisions apply, the default position is that developers of **all** new residential developments (comprising + 10 dwellings) are required to make a financial payment to the council in accordance with the tariff set out in the financial contributions table.
- For the avoidance of doubt, payments are required to be made in respect of houses and flats. Furthermore, payments will apply equally to social housing developments and residential developments undertaken by or on behalf of the council.

Woodlands and structural landscaping on the periphery or within housing sites has its own particular function and this will **not** be embraced by the aforementioned payments. Developers will continue to be required to make on site provision where appropriate and put in place an acceptable maintenance regime.

### **Financial contributions**

The tariff for developer contributions is applied on a pro-rata basis and is set out below. An explanation of how this figure has been calculated is provided in Appendix 4 to this document.

The tariff of £1,500 reflects prices in 2012 and will be updated in successive years by being linked to the Building Tender Price Index (using third quarter 2012 as the base date). Developers are advised to seek confirmation of the current tariff in force before embarking on projects.

SIZE OF DWELLING (house or flat)	PERCENTAGE OF THE FULL TARIFF	CONTRIBUTION
One bedroom	20%	£300
Two or three bedrooms	100%	£1,500
Four or more bed rooms	120%	£1,800

Payments will ordinarily be secured by a planning agreement, concluded between the council and the developer before the release of a planning permission. However, subject to discussion, the council may agree to a less formal arrangement for the collection of payments.

Circular 3/2012, published in December 2012, sets out circumstances where a planning agreement can be used and the required tests are; necessity/planning purpose/ relationship to proposed development/scale and kind and reasonableness. The council is satisfied that the use of a planning agreement, to secure a legitimate development cost, is appropriate and therefore justified in these circumstances.

The council may decide to accumulate payments in a dedicated account, effectively pooling contributions from a number of developments, and it is therefore possible that implementation of works may be deferred until such time as the necessary scale of funding has been secured to meet the costs of a comprehensive and worthwhile programme of works.

It will be at the discretion of the council how payments are to be used, and in particular, which existing facilities are to be invested in and over what period of time. These decisions will be arrived at in consultation with the NETs and Land Services Manager and will be determined after an analysis of current open space provision in the locality has been undertaken by the council.

In some circumstances, the council may choose to consult with local communities about where and how investment should be made but payments must, in any event, be meaningfully related and give some benefit to the development sites which are the source of funding the works.

If there are no appropriate open spaces within the minimum walking distances then the contributions will either be put towards: the creation of a new open space as close to the development site as is practicable; or improving the quality of open space as close to the development site as is practicable. Alternatively, where the new development is in an urban fringe location, investment may be directed towards landscape and access improvement opportunities which contribute towards the CSGN.

In the event that the council is not able to spend developer contributions appropriately within ten years of receiving them, developers will be entitled, on written request, to have them repaid, plus any accrued interest at the lowest bank rate.

## **Open space in the Core Development Areas**

The provision of active open space in relation to new residential development in the Core Development Areas (CDAs) located at Armadale, Livingston and Almond Valley and Winchburgh / East Broxburn require a different approach since there is, by and large, insufficient existing provision to build upon and augment.

Within the CDAs the opportunity exists, and the council will demand, that strategic open space be identified and provided for by developers at the outset through the master planning process. This plan will need to show how the various elements of open space are to be met (including details of phasing). The aim is to ensure adequate and coherent provision of open space for the site as a whole.

Thereafter, the responsibility for ongoing maintenance, whether it falls jointly to a consortium or separately to each builder, will require to be clearly established and secured by a legal agreement between the developer and the council.

In addition to conventional open space provision, new strategic residential developments within the CDAs may also be required to provide for indoor and outdoor sports facilities over and above the open space requirements already described. Such provision should in any event be in accordance with the approved strategies of the council, specifically the *West Lothian Sports and Recreation Facilities Strategy* and the *West Lothian Outdoor Facilities Strategy*. All new or upgraded pitch and pavilion developments should also meet Sportscotland and the relevant sports governing body recommendations applicable at the time. The council will advise developers on a site by site basis of any specific requirements for indoor and outdoor sports facilities in the course of pre-application discussions.

## Passive open space

Without exception, passive open space, circulation space and landscaping must be an integral part of the detailed layout of **all** new residential developments. The land around and between buildings must be thoughtfully designed and laid out from the outset and always to the highest standard. It must not simply be an amalgam of *left over* spaces after the planning process has been concluded.

The extent of passive open space and landscaping will largely be dependent upon the size of the development and will be assessed on a site by site basis. It is however



important that there is sufficient provision to avoid developments being overly dominated by roads and buildings and any suggestion of *cramming* will be vigorously resisted.

Such spaces ideally lend themselves to being used for informal or passive recreation, for example walking, cycling, jogging, dog exercising and many other outdoor

activities, and they can take up minimal areas of land which often have no overt commercial value to developers.

They can also be linked together by *green corridors* which function as safe, convenient and alternative off-road access to shops, schools, places of employment and leisure facilities. They can encompass foot and cycle paths and at the same time provide habitats for wildlife, all of which contributes to biodiversity and the overall visual amenity of the development.

In order to make best us of passive open spaces and *green corridors*, it is important that residents and the local community are aware of their existence and this calls for the provision of strategically placed and appropriate signage.

The council will proactively encourage and support the development of a green network of connected open spaces, helping to integrate and connect new housing with other facilities, and with access routes to the wider countryside wherever practicable.

Where larger residential sites that are to be compartmentalised/phased and developed by either a consortium or single developer, applicants will be required to satisfy these standards within the context of the comprehensive masterplan for the development approved by the council and to comply with the terms of any agreement or condition.

## Maintenance of incidental open space and landscaped areas

It is important that binding and enduring arrangements for the maintenance of amenity and incidental open space and landscaped areas are secured in relation to all new residential developments.

A detailed plan showing private and common areas and a copy of the maintenance agreement should, ideally, be provided as part of the planning application submission, clearly setting out the responsibilities of the property owners and any factor or other parties involved. In any event, development will not be permitted to commence until maintenance arrangements have been approved by the council.

It should be appreciated that this is a particularly sensitive issue. It routinely provokes a disproportionately high level of response and complaint each time the council has surveyed homeowners as part of it's Customer Excellence initiatives and it is therefore important that house purchasers (and their legal advisers) are made fully aware of the arrangements for the management and maintenance of common areas which have been put in place before a property is sold.

The Scottish Government (and the Office of Fair Trading) recently concluded that householders do not understand their rights and obligations, and do not have a clear understanding of the standards they can expect from a property manager. Developers can help by ensuring that this information is pro-actively communicated to prospective customers.

There are several mechanisms for providing for the long-term management and maintenance of open space and landscaped areas in new developments.

The council continues to offer developers (and formally constituted residents groups) an open space adoption service where aspects of the management and maintenance of communal open space are vested in the council on receipt of a commuted sum, currently equivalent to thirty times the annual maintenance costs plus compounded interest. Further information on adoption procedures is available from the council's NETs and Land Services.

Alternatively, common ownership by homeowners of open space (who may manage the areas directly or appoint a third party to do so) and transferring ownership to a third party such as a commercial land management company or environmental trust are legitimate options.

As indicated above, passing responsibility for areas of shelter belt and woodland to homeowners through feuing conditions is not acceptable. These areas must be transferred to the council or a competent organisation approved by the council.

The council will secure appropriate arrangements by planning conditions.

#### **LANDSCAPE DESIGN**

Well designed and executed landscape areas help define the different function of spaces and routes throughout a development and are an integral component, essential to enhance it's overall appearance.

The retention of worthwhile existing features, particularly those that contribute to the natural biodiversity of an area, can help a new development to fit more comfortably with its surroundings and give it a more mature feel. The addition of new landscaping can also enhance the quality (and value) of the development.

A comprehensive landscaping scheme must be prepared for all new residential developments, unless otherwise agreed. Furthermore, landscape proposals must be conceived as an integral part of the initial design process and not as an afterthought or as a means of simply filling left over spaces.

A survey of the site should form the basis for the layout of the proposed development. Designers should make the most of the existing vegetation, forms of enclosure and views, and relate the development to the site by preserving trees and hedges which are worthy of retention and avoid the destruction of natural features and habitats.

Proposals should have particular regard to:

- topography ground levels, slopes;
- drainage ponds, ditches, wet areas; natural or artificial, and opportunities for integrating SUDs;
- **soil analysis** clay, sand or loam; acid or calcareous;
- **vegetation** the height, species, crown spread and condition of trees, shrubs and hedges on or adjacent to the site. These should be accurately plotted, including overhanging trees from adjacent sites;
- weed eradication Section 14 of the Wildlife and Natural Environment (Scotland)
   Act 2011 clarifies responsibilities for the control of non native species such as Giant Hogweed and Japanese Knotweed.
- wildlife interest/nature conservation the presence of any protected species ascertained and opportunities to create new wildlife habitats and promote biodiversity maximised;
- **boundary analysis** walls and fences indicating materials and building styles
- existing underground and overhead services public sewers, electricity, gas and water supply;
- statutory and non statutory designations for example the Aviation Safeguarding Zone around Edinburgh Airport and how this may affect the choice of plant species and the inclusion of open water bodies;
- **site features** including buildings, steps and paths (particularly public footpaths and other rights of way) observed and provided for;
- **views** good and poor views within the site, views from the site outwards and from outside viewpoints such as from roads and properties into the site;
- climatic conditions prevailing winds, sheltered, sunny or shaded areas; and the potential for using structural landscaping to modify the microclimate;

- the Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN) and how the particular site fits with the strategic vision of this initiative;
- Scottish Government's guidance entitled Green Infrastructure: Design and Placemaking which builds on Designing Places and Designing Streets and promotes a sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning.

It is also important that adequate provision is made for landscape works in development budgets. All too often landscaping is regarded as an optional add-on and it is frequently the first casualty of cost cutting. This is not acceptable.

The council encourages the submission of landscape proposals as part of the initial planning application or shortly thereafter. In any event, conditions will ordinarily be imposed which will require landscape proposals to be implemented within a specific timescale and these conditions will be rigorously monitored and enforced.

Landscape proposals should be prepared by an experienced landscape specialist, preferably an accredited member of a recognised professional body. It is also recommended that their commission be extended to the supervision of the landscape works on site to ensure full compliance with the approved landscape plan. There is little point in producing a high quality scheme if it is not competently executed and maintained.

Landscape proposals should comply with BS 4428:1989 *Code of practice for general landscape* operations (excluding hard surfaces) or any future equivalent standard. Attention is also drawn to the guidance issued by the council with planning permissions in the form of *Landscape Specifications*.

### **TREES**

Trees play a crucial role in landscape design and contribute to the sustainability and the place-making of an urban development. They can give a unique sense of attractiveness and maturity to an area, provide a setting for new buildings, help define open spaces, create enclosure and enhance privacy between properties and other land uses.

Trees also play a role in absorbing CO<sub>2</sub>, thereby contributing to local air quality, and they help to reduce waterrun-off by absorbing significant



quantities of rainwater through leaves and roots. They provide a cooling effect and shade in summer and deciduous trees contribute to the maintenance and intensification of biodiversity by providing a habitat for birds, bats, invertebrates and flora.

## **Retention and protection of existing trees**

The council has a statutory obligation to ensure that adequate provision is made for the protection of existing trees and the planting of new trees.

Trees which are in good condition and a feature of the site, the street scene or the surrounding landscape should, therefore, be retained unless there is a substantiated risk to public safety which cannot reasonably be reduced by judicious surgery or intervention.

Trees are sensitive living organisms that are easily damaged or destroyed and it is therefore essential for their wellbeing that they are protected throughout the construction phase and often beyond the completion of a development. Ground levels below the spread of the branches should not be altered and tree roots should not be cut. Protective fencing should be erected (and maintained in situ), and warning signs posted which prohibit vehicle parking and the stock piling of soil, fuel or building materials within the crown spread.



This tree has suffered both root damage and compaction damage due to vehicle movements and storage of materials. This tree is unlikely to survive.

Where trees are present on a development site, and/or on an adjacent site, and have the potential to be affected by the proposed development, developers will be required to survey these trees and to provide a detailed arboriculturist report and risk assessment.

The submission should include the following:

- a scaled plan showing the crown spread of all existing trees and hedges within or adjacent to the site. (They should be separately identified from proposed planting).
- a tree schedule listing all essential tree data, including genus, species, vigour, age, safe useful life expectancy, height, stem diameter, crown spread and status;
- a brief description and evaluation of the health and condition of the trees;
- a detailed risk assessment for all trees/woodlands (premised on the proposed development taking place);
- a statement confirming the amenity and conservation value and overall condition of trees or woodlands within and/or adjacent to the site.

Arboricultural reports should be prepared by a qualified arboriculturalist or forester familiar with current arboricultural practices.

All tree related works should comply with BS 3998:2010 *Tree Work - Recommendations* or any future equivalent standard.



### **New planting**

All but the smallest and most restricted of developments will be expected to incorporate proposals for the planting of new trees. Schemes should be designed for a hierarchy of different types of planting including avenue planting, boundary planting, open space planting and small garden trees, but should, ultimately, be appropriate to the scale and character of the specific development site.

While there may be a temptation to plant larger trees (in order to create a more instant effect) it is generally acknowledged that younger nursery stock actually transplant better and will often develop faster.

On the whole, there should be a predominance of British native or naturalized tree species for structure planting. Trees and planting along the site boundaries should also aim to provide all year coverage, incorporating a mixture of evergreen and deciduous species.

It is important that all new trees, shrubs and other plant material are from a reputable source, have a high quality specification, that good working practice for the storage and transportation of plants are observed and that heavy vehicles, materials and storage areas are kept off land to be planted.

To ensure that contractors conform to correct soil handling, and to avoid compaction, it is recommended that works accord with NBS (National Building Specification) Sections D20 and Q28 which specifically deal with soil handling. If the soil is very compact, subsoil ripping may be required as well as surface cultivation.

Drainage and ventilation details should be included for standard tree pits (and for all larger trees). This can include a ventilation/drainage pipe and/or an aggregate layer at the base.

The duration of after-care must be incorporated into all landscape schemes. After-care is essential until such time as the tree or trees can survive without protection, support, weed control or artificial irrigation.

Particular care should be taken where trees and buildings will be in close proximity to each other. And where shrinkable clay soil is prevalent, as it is in much of West Lothian, the choice and position of trees needs to be given even more detailed attention as it can lead to subsidence issues in drought conditions. In all cases it is prudent to consult a qualified expert so that appropriate provision can be made, but as a general guide, the following distances are indicative of likely minimum requirements:

Species	Distance from house		
Oak	18m		
Elm	19m		
Hawthorn	12m		
Ash	10m		
Birch	4m		
Maple	9m to 12m		
Popular	20m		
Willow	18m		
Cyprus	2.5m		
Horse Chestnut	15m		
Beech	9m		
Plane	10m		

**NB:** These distances ONLY apply to shrinkable clay soil.

The ultimate height and spread and the effects of shading will, of course, influence the choice of species and location.

Where more substantive areas of structure planting are required, for example, on sites which are close to the edge of settlements, it should be noted that the minimum width of a new woodland shelter belt should be at least 20m in order to provide long term landscape value and a viable habitat. Climax species, field woodland and forest trees should be planted in the centre of shelterbelts with smaller trees and hedge shrubs defining the transition zone at the edge. Woodland planting also needs to be selectively thinned and managed as it matures and satisfactory arrangements for the longer term maintenance of trees, planting and other landscaped areas must, in all circumstances, be considered and costed from the outset. Where appropriate, planning conditions will be used to secure this.

When selecting the location for trees and shrubs, developers are reminded of the need to ensure that they do not conflict, or have the potential to conflict, with underground services, particularly sewers and sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDs). Detailed guidance on minimum planting distances can be found in the Scottish Water document *Sewers for Scotland 2*.



#### **CAR PARKING STANDARDS**

An increasingly observed problem with residential developments is cars parked on verges, on pavements and on streets that are not designed to accommodate them. This gives rise to safety issues for both pedestrians and road users, impedes vehicular access for emergency vehicles and bin lorries and generally presents a cluttered and untidy streetscene.

Despite aspirations for more sustainable development and reduced car usage, the inescapable fact is that car ownership continues to increase and the problems identified above will prevail unless appropriate measures are taken when designing new developments to ensure that adequate parking provision is made for both residents and visitors. The goal is to generate parking levels that are high enough to meet the needs whilst low enough to make the most efficient use of development land and avoid the creation of car-dominated environments.

The council has responded to this problem by overhauling residential parking standards and new development should be in accordance with these, as appropriate.

Developers of major developments, particularly those with high accessibility to local facilities and rail services, are encouraged to consider the establishment of Car Clubs, in association with one of the national operators. This has the potential to significantly lower individual parking requirements, perhaps creating an opportunity for some additional houses, while almost certainly encouraging and giving rise to more healthy and carbon-reduced lifestyles.

Class 9 – houses						
Land use	Vehicle maximum		Disabled persons parking	Cycle minimum		
	*Town centre	Elsewhere	spaces minimum			
General housing and housing associations Up to three	At least one space per dwelling. In addition 40% of private houses	One space per dwelling plus half a space provided communal	N/A	One per dwelling (where residents have access to a garden or garage no provision is		
bedrooms	should have a			necessary)		
General housing and housing associations Four or more	garage or space for one. Also a minimum of 30% visitor parking should be provided	Two spaces per dwelling plus half a space provided communal	N/A	N/A		
bedrooms						
Flats, general housing and housing associations Up to three bedrooms	One space per dwelling plus 30% communal for new build. Existing buildings consideration for reduction based on available parking	One space per dwelling plus half a communal space.	N/A	One space per dwelling (where residents have access to a garden or garage no provision is necessary)		
Sheltered housing	One space per warden plus one space per five units	One space per warden plus one space per three units	N/A	One space per dwelling (where residents have access to a garden or garage no provision is necessary)		
Special needs	One space per warden plus 1.25 space per unit	One space per warden plus 1.25 space per unit	N/A	N/A		
Student accommodation	One space per warden plus one space per five beds	One space per warden plus one space per five beds	N/A	One space per six staff / students		
Multiple occupancies	0.5 space per bedroom	One space per bedroom	N/A	One space per dwelling (where residents have access to a garden or garage no provision is necessary)		

<sup>\*</sup>Town centre – the parking standards shown shall be adhered to unless there are particular alternative public transport options allowing a reduced level to be considered.

#### **ACCOMMODATING CAR PARKING**

The Scottish Government's general planning policy for car parking is set out in the Transport section of Scottish Planning Policy (SPP). This promotes a design-led approach to the provision of car parking spaces that are well integrated with a high-quality public realm.

The type and location of car parking can have a significant visual impact on the quality of a development. It must be part of an overall car parking strategy and developers will need to balance a number of requirements, including:

- avoiding domination of the public realm by cars;
- considering the proportion of allocated and non-allocated parking spaces;
- providing sufficient activity within the street;
- accommodating space for gardens; and
- ensuring an acceptable level of security for vehicles and properties.

There is no single best solution to providing car parking and it is anticipated that a range of different parking solutions, both on-street and off-street, will be employed within each development, depending on the context and character of a particular site and balancing the convenience and needs of residents with visual and environmental amenity considerations.

Parking within individual curtilages or on-plot, and usually in the form of driveways to the front of houses, is one of the most common solutions employed by developers. It is clearly liked by residents who, understandably, want to park their cars within sight and easy reach, but it is also one of the least flexible solutions as only the occupier can use the spaces and they remain an unused resource if the occupier does not own a car.

On-plot parking is least intrusive when integral garages are incorporated into L-shaped house types, where it is located to the side of a house in front of a garage or on a hardstanding behind the main building line where the car is largely hidden, or to the rear of the house as a *drive through* to a hardstanding within the rear garden. It is important, therefore, that not all front gardens are given over wholly to car parking and that these options/variations are considered as a matter of routine. Judicious tree planting and landscaping can of course also help ensure that parking does not overly dominate in these circumstances.

Off-street parking provides for a diverse range of layout options for shared parking to be employed and embraces off-street courtyards, rear courtyards and even basement and undercroft parking. However, regardless of what solution is adopted, it is important that parking is integrated within the overall development. It should also be conveniently located where it can be supervised in an area that is well lit and has good natural surveillance from the main elevations of nearby houses.

Shared parking areas should typically be small and comprise less than 10 parking spaces. If more spaces are necessary then they should be broken up with appropriate landscaping so that it is not an overly dominant feature of the development.

As a general rule, when designing parking for flats, it is necessary to ensure that there are adequate spaces for disabled people close to entrances. It is important to control parking to maintain adequate room for wheelchair users, pushchairs and people with mobility difficulties.

Whilst a key principle of designing car parking is to reduce the visual impact of cars, some judiciously located on-street parking can nevertheless make a positive contribution to a development when designed into a layout at the outset. Street based parking solutions tend to cater for different types of users at different times of the day. They are convenient for visitors, as they are usually located near front doors, they can bring activity to the street and can also have a traffic calming effect. They can be counted towards the overall provision required in new developments, both for residents and visitors, but cannot be allocated to individual properties. The downside is that on-street parking can be visually dominant if over used and therefore tends to work better when provided in small groups of not more than five spaces. Trees, planting, extended pavements and street furniture can be used to discourage indiscriminate on-street parking in a subtle yet effective way.

### **ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING POINTS**

Technological advancement is prompting some of the leading car manufacturers to introduce electric vehicles to their ranges as an alternative to diesel and petrol engine

vehicles and sales are predicted to grow rapidly with some estimates predicting it will reach two million by 2020. In addition to private cars, growth is also expected in electric scooters and bicycles.

Opportunities therefore exist at the early stages of planning new residential developments for developers to at least consider the potential for installing electrical charging points within garages or on the external elevations of homes to facilitate and encourage the uptake of electric vehicles. It is not however a requirement that developers install charging point sockets at this time, although some may chose to do so. Rather developers should consider incorporating the internal ducting that would enable the later installation of such a facility by an electrician or a qualified competent person with minimal disturbance to the fabric of the building.




### **GARAGES**

In addition to their designed and intended function, it is widely recognised that garages are routinely used for the storage of garden equipment, bicycles and other household items. In some instances cars are permanently displaced and end up being parked on driveways or on the street, the consequence of which is visual clutter and attendant road safety implications. It is therefore sensible to anticipate and provide for this and developers are encouraged to build in some additional storage capacity.

### **DRIVEWAYS**

Driveways should be wide enough to allow for the opening of car doors and access to both sides of a parked car and also, on one side, allow for an accessible route to the dwelling. Single driveways should therefore be a minimum width of 3.5m, clear of any obstruction, and at least 6m in length.

Driveways should be surfaced in porous materials, or constructed in a manner which allows run off to a drain or soakaway. To avoid driveways being too steep and vehicles grounding, the maximum gradient for the first



2.5m of all driveways should not exceed 1:30. The maximum gradient for the remainder of all driveways should not exceed 1:12 if it also functions as an access path.

#### **BUS STOPS AND SHELTERS**

While there has been significant investment in new rail infrastructure in West Lothian, and several new and refurbished stations have been opened, buses are still likely to be the most common form of public transport available to the residents of new developments and it is therefore important that the experience of using them is made as attractive as possible, particularly if it is going to succeed in encouraging people to reduce their reliance on the private car.



In practical terms, developers may be required by planning conditions to specifically provide for bus stops and bus shelters to meet the needs of prospective residents, and it is important that this is taken account of and integrated into the design of streets at an early stage.

Where bus stops are required (or re-located) within a development, they should be:

- sited to maximise their walking catchments
- located at natural focal points;
- spaced at around 300m to 400m apart, taking account of density; and
- located away from the immediate frontages of residential properties.

All bus stops should be provided with some form of shelter, which is thoughtfully designed and located to afford protection from the elements and incorporates lighting and some seating. The design must address and be sympathetic to the needs of the disabled, elderly and young children and developers are encouraged to consult with, and seek advice from, the council's Public Transport service and Disability West Lothian. Contact details are at the end of this document.

#### **CYCLING AND WALKING**

Cycling is a particularly sustainable form of transport. It fits perfectly with a range of national, regional and local policies on transport, health, the environment and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Accommodating cycling needn't be onerous or always mean having to provide cyclespecific infrastructure. What is key is that designers need to employ the correct mindset, one which is much bolder than previous approaches, in order to achieve a genuinely cycle/pedestrian-friendly environment.

The quality of the streetscape has an immediate impact on people's desire to cycle and walk and new residential development must, therefore, provide an attractive, safe and secure cycling and walking environment.

Within new residential developments priority for cyclists and pedestrians should be the norm, this being made obvious to drivers from the design and any necessary signage. Careful consideration should be given to the choice of materials and to detailed design, so as to provide the best possible connections for cycling and walking.

It is particularly important to be aware of and to understand cyclist and pedestrian desire lines, taking into account the location of the site, the pattern of existing infrastructure and the location of important destinations such as shops, schools, rail stations, bus routes, leisure facilities etc.

It is essential that connections are provided to such facilities and it is equally important that they are direct and convenient, as well as safe and welcoming. Cycling and pedestrian routes may often be located along residential roads (also providing natural surveillance) where these are direct, but with path links to provide direct access to facilities where motorised traffic takes a more circuitous route. Where road crossings are required, toucan and/or pedestrian only crossings may have to be provided, as appropriate to the location, with consideration given to cyclist/pedestrian priority at such crossings.

Consideration should also be given to the likely routes away from a development, particularly where this can create opportunities to enhance the green network. Encouraging residents to use the green network for short journeys rather than using cars, helps reduce emissions and promotes more healthier and active lifestyles. Footpath and cycle networks, and greened transport corridors can make a significant contribution towards delivering the CSGN.

Designing Streets and Designing Places are the key design policy statements for Scotland. Designing Streets, in particular, acknowledges that walking and cycling are important modes of travel, and although somewhat neglected in the past, must be given primacy when designing new residential development.

#### **CYCLE STORAGE AND CYCLE PARKING**

Providing safe and convenient cycle storage space, particularly for flats, is important. Small halls and flats up stairs cause real problems for those wishing to cycle and deter those who might otherwise use a bicycle as a means of transport.


New residential developments should therefore provide either a space inside a block of flats to secure bikes or provide separate cycle stores elsewhere within the development. When provided separately, cycle storage should be located close to building entrances to enhance convenience and security for users and be covered, secure and well lit.

Short-term cycle parking, particularly for visitors, is also important when planning new developments and appropriate provision should be made which should similarly be secure and enclosed.

Where bike storage is being provided (whether within or outwith the residential building, it is important that developers put in place adequate management arrangements to ensure security and the ongoing maintenance of the facility.

*Cycle by Design* is published by Transport Scotland for use by practitioners throughout Scotland and provides useful and detailed guidance.





The Sheffield Stand provides good support to the cycle and allows the cyclist to secure both the frame and wheels without risk of damage.

## **PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY**

In almost all situations, public rights of way must be incorporated into new housing developments. Where this is not feasible, permission for any diversion shall be sought from the council with the diversion following, as close as possible, the line of the existing right of way. All related costs associated with diversion and re-establishment (physical, administrative and legal) will require to be borne by the developer.

New development should also have regard to the council's Core Paths Plan. This is an important document for anyone involved in access issues as it outlines the importance of access, its protection, management and the potential improvement to urban and rural paths across the district.

### TRANSPORT ASSESSMENTS (TA)

Applications which are expected to have a significant transport impact must be accompanied by a Transport Assessment. In the majority of cases this will be largely dependant upon the scale of the impact of the proposals and is therefore more likely to be required for larger developments. Nevertheless, the need for a Transport Assessment and it's scoping should be agreed with the council's Transportation Manager as part of the pre-application process. Issues relative to public transport, pedestrian movement, cycling and private vehicles should be addressed by a TA and should take full cogniscance of the transport related element of this guide.

# **QUALITY AUDITS (QA)**

Designing Streets seeks to promote innovative design solutions and encourages developers to think out of the box. As a consequence, many tried and tested road engineering standards which have been applied in the past, and which are proven to work from a technical / safety standpoint, may increasingly become redundant as new proposals are brought forward.

While the council is always open and receptive to new solutions, it does nevertheless have a responsibility to ensure that they are both functional and safe, and to this end *Designing Streets* introduces the process of a Quality Audit.

A Quality Audit draws together assessments by various professionals and by grouping the assessments together, any potential compromises in the design should become evident.

Where required, a Quality Audit must be integral to the design and implementation of a development. A typical audit may include some of the following assessments but the content will invariably depend on the type of scheme and the objective which the scheme is seeking to meet:

- an audit of visual quality;
- a review of how the street will be used by the community;
- a road safety audit;
- an inclusive access audit;
- a walking audit; and
- a cycling audit.

It is therefore important that Quality Audits are scoped and agreed with the council's Transport Manager and Development Management Manager as part of the pre-application discussion process.

## **ROAD SAFETY AUDITS (RSA)**

The purpose of the RSA is to identify potential road safety problems. Road Safety Audits can be a key component within an overall Quality Audit but may also be required as a stand alone submission.

The Transportation Manager will advise developers on a case by case basis whether a particular proposal will require to be supported by a RSA and, as always, pre-application discussion is encouraged.

## **ROAD CONSTRUCTION CONSENT (RCC)**

At the time of publication the *Roads* (*Scotland*) *Act* 1984 is the primary legislation for new roads, and all new roads must receive RCC under Section 21 of that act prior to construction.

Designing Streets promotes an integrated approach to approval, involving collaboration between planning officers and road engineers. Ideally, discussions should take place as early as possible - even before a layout is worked up or a planning application submitted.

#### TRAVEL PLANNING

The council is committed to the active promotion of sustainable development and transport is a key factor affecting sustainability.

Travel planning can help to mitigate the adverse effects of less sustainable travel through the promotion of better use of the most sustainable modes of transport and the council has identified a requirement for developers of 10 or more dwellings to contribute to the funding of a Travel Co-ordinator (TC).

The role of the TC is to consider travel plans and sustainable travel issues in transport assessments (or transport statements) submitted in support of planning applications. At the time of publication, the requirement for contributions to fund a travel plan coordinator has been temporarily suspended until January 2014 and developers will therefore need to establish the position after this date. The need for developers to submit travel plans to support their applications will however remain and the council will continue to explore with developers innovative ways in which a travel co-ordination service should be delivered, which could involve developers carrying out their own monitoring and submitting the results to the council.

Residential developers are also required to produce a *Sustainable Travel Information Pack* (IP) to be provided in each new home. The contents of the pack will be site specific and should be integrated with wider information on local amenities and services. The pack is to be produced by the developer and will require council approval as part of planning consent. The council will specify the requirements for the contents of the pack on a case-by-case basis, but generally, it should include information on the location of local services and amenities and provide information of the options for travel to and from the development. The TC will work closely with developers to provide advice on the content of the IP. Developers will be required to regularly monitor and revise the IP.

In December 2007, the council approved SPG on *Travel plans, residential travel plan information packs and travel plan co-ordinators*. This provides details of the prevailing contribution rates and can be requested from the council.

## **TECHNICAL GUIDANCE FOR STREETS**

As previously indicated, detailed technical advice relative to the design and construction of roads for adoption will be provided by the Transport Manager.

#### **BIODIVERSITY**

Biodiversity can be defined as the variety of life in an identified area and development can put pressure on the natural environment both directly and indirectly.

Conserving biodiversity is not just about protecting rare species and designated nature conservation sites, although these are important. It also encompasses the more common and widespread species and habitats. Biodiversity interest is also not confined to just rural areas - biodiversity is equally important in an urban location and on brownfield sites.



The council is committed to conserving and enhancing the biodiversity of West Lothian and existing features of ecological interest should always be retained within a development site and incorporated into open space networks or corridors which can serve a number of functions, such as wildlife corridors and refuges; surface water discharge; shelter belts and for noise and pollution absorption. This will contribute to biodiversity, whilst providing local features of visual interest and will simultaneously advance the aims of the CSGN.

The council has a statutory duty under the *Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004* and the *Wildlife and Natural Environment (Scotland) Act 2011* to protect and conserve biodiversity and the *West Lothian Local Biodiversity Action Plan* (2005) identifies particular habitats and species of significance to the local area. Any new development must therefore ensure that any adverse impact on wildlife and habitat resources is minimised.

Developers will be required to assess the biodiversity status of sites by undertaking an ecological survey, and the outcomes, which can sometimes have a profound effect on developability and the development programme, should be used to influence the design of the residential development in order to conserve, enhance and create further opportunities for biodiversity.

It is important that surveys are carried out at the right time of year when species are more likely to be present on the site and the results should be submitted with the planning application. This requires a significant degree of forward planning and early consultation is therefore encouraged to try and avoid delays. Developers may also be required to liaise with Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) local area officer or specialist advisors regarding these matters.

Applications for planning permission that are submitted without the required supporting ecological information are unlikely to be approved as there would be insufficient information to determine the impact of the proposed development.

It is also important to consider any indirect effects on nearby sites since development can have unforseen consequences, particularly on drainage.

When giving consideration to the biodiversity of a site, the following general points should be taken into account:

- use specialist input from ecologists, landscape architects, arborists and other appropriately qualified persons;
- ensure that features with established ecological or landscape value are protected throughout site clearance and during the construction phases of development;
- compensate for any loss of biodiversity elsewhere on site or, in some instances, off site if necessary;
- design in new features to enhance biodiversity, for example by using native trees or developing the ecological value of sustainable urban drainage features; and
- put in place mechanisms for positive and sustainable management and aftercare of landscape and ecological resources.

There are many ways developers can achieve gains for biodiversity and the *subject policies* relative to Landscape and Natural Heritage and Protected Species in SPP sets out the methods in which biodiversity can be conserved or enhanced through the planning process.

Detailed guidance is also available from the council in a separate document entitled *Planning* for biodiversity action in West Lothian.

## PROTECTING EXISTING WILDLIFE AND NATURAL HABITATS

The enhancement of water courses, rivers, lochs and wetlands habitats and the promotion of natural flood risk management should be an integral part of development proposals. Moving all water bodies towards good ecological status will help protect the wildlife and natural habitats associated with these areas.

As West Lothian is part of the CSGN, opportunities to contribute to this should be seen as a priority, particularly for large scale developments. Green networks provide opportunities for physical activity and access to the outdoors and increase accessibility within settlements and to the surrounding countryside. The goal should be to link greenspaces, watercourses and waterways in order to provide an enhanced setting for development.

Everyday contact with the natural environment makes an important contribution to quality of life and retaining and creating wildlife features can result in a more attractive and desirable development. They can also benefit the development as they provide interest and help to assimilate into its surroundings.

There is a significant amount of legislation protecting wildlife and the natural environment and it is the responsibility of developers to undertake the necessary surveys and investigations before any works commence and to ensure they do not contravene the law.

The key legislation is, the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981, the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004, The Protection of Badgers Act 1992, the Protection of Wild Mammals (Scotland) Act 2002 and The Wildlife and Natural Environment (Scotland) Act 2011. There are also species protected under the European Habitats Directive, such as bats, otters and great crested newts.

A summary of the law relating to this subject is set out in the leaflet *Scotland's wildlife: the law and you*. It has been produced by Scottish Nature Heritage (SNH) which is particularly well placed to advise on all matters of this nature and the relevant contact details are provided at the end of this document.

It is important to be aware that it is a criminal offence to damage or destroy a breeding site or a resting place of a protected species, punishable by a fine and even imprisonment. If developers are unsure about what protected animal or plant species may be present on or adjacent to a particular site, discussions should be held with the SNH area officer and the council at an early stage so that issues and potential solutions can be discussed.

The following general principles should be applied to the protection of wildlife and natural habitats and natural drainage patterns;

- existing features of wildlife value should be retained and enhanced wherever possible;
- development should avoid adverse impacts on protected nature conservation sites such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), local biodiversity sites and Local Nature Reserves (LNRs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Special Areas of Conservation (SACs);
- development should have regard to any potential impact on protected rare and endangered species (listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan),
- piping and canalisation of watercourses should be avoided. The preference is for all
  watercourses to be as natural as possible and improvements such as deculverting
  and reinstating natural channels will be encouraged;
- during construction, robust physical measures should be taken to isolate habitats within and adjacent to development areas. Where appropriate to do so, the creation of habitat links through and within developments should be provided;
- mechanisms for preventing damage or interruption to natural drainage patterns should be implemented;
- SUDs discharge into woodland should be avoided in order to prevent the destabilisation of trees; and
- existing ground levels adjacent to wildlife habitats should be maintained and undisturbed.

Additional guidance is set out in the leaflet *Planning Permission and Wildlife: what you need to know.* 

#### SUSTAINABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

Land is a valuable commodity in some ways and it is important that the most efficient and optimum use is made of it.

Sustainable development aims to meet our needs while preserving the environment so that these needs can be met not only in the present, but also for future generations; it is the core principle underpinning planning and the delivery of sustainable homes and places and is arguably one of the most important challenges of our time.

The location of new residential development relative to where people are expected to work, and the schools, shopping, leisure and community facilities they will use, is one of the most important factors in ensuring a sustainable and energy minimising development.



Without the provision of direct, welcoming and safe cycling and walking routes and easy and convenient accessibility to public transport connecting to these facilities, the sustainability of a development can be fundamentally undermined.

Building in a manner to minimise the use of energy and natural resources is a necessity and environmental sustainability should be a fundamental thread that runs throughout all aspects of new residential development.

More sustainable dwellings can be achieved by making relatively minor changes and amendments to standard building types which allow sufficient flexibility to adapt to residents' changing needs and circumstances over time. If these are considered and incorporated at the design stage they can often help achieve successful, sustainable development at minimum additional cost.

SPP directs that the planning system should promote sustainable development by ensuring that development incorporates design and methods of construction which achieve this goal. There are many practical aspects of the design and planning process that can have a significant impact and which can contribute towards achieving a sustainable residential development.

The layout and design of new residential development must support a sustainable environment and should therefore have regard to the following principles of sustainability:

- reduce demand for energy;
- reduce demand for water;
- provide energy in sustainable ways;
- foster and maintain biodiversity;
- treat / attenuate run-off to minimise pollution and the risk of flooding;
- make reducing / recycling waste easy;
- build in accessibility and adaptability;
- make alternative means of transport other than the private car easier to use; and
- use sustainable materials.

The Sustainable Housing Design Guide for Scotland, first published in 2000 by Communities Scotland (abolished in 2008), is still an invaluable reference. The document remains a comprehensive and user friendly guidance to the incorporation of sustainability principles into developing housing. It is a helpful source of information for housing providers who wish to move toward more sustainable development.

### **ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

The planning system, together with the building standards regime, are jointly charged with ensuring that new development minimises the demand for and the consumption of energy.

The Climate Change (Scotland) Act directs that local development plans must require all new buildings to be designed to avoid a specified and rising proportion of the projected greenhouse gas emissions from their use through the installation and operation of low and zero carbon generating technologies.

The residential sector accounts for 30% of total energy demand and a similar percentage of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Scotland and improving residential energy efficiency is, therefore, one of the most cost-effective ways of reducing carbon emissions.


Staged improvements in Building Standards towards zero-carbon new buildings have already made a significant impact on emissions from new housing and helped increase energy efficiency, with the majority of this improvement relating to space and water heating. However, it is probable that the EU, UK and Scottish Governments will continue to set challenging targets to reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions and, as a consequence, it is prudent for developers to be ever more ambitious, even to the point of exceeding current targets.

The design of new development should, therefore, purposefully minimise carbon and other greenhouse gas emissions and should include features that provide effective adaption to the predicted effects of climate change.

There is a wide variety of ways that developers can contribute to improved energy efficiency when bringing forward proposals for new residential development but, as in most other things, it is important that these are taken into account as early as possible in the development process as this provides for a wider range of viable options and the solutions are likely to be more cost effective.


### These include:

- locating new developments near public transport hubs;
- locating new development within easy walking and cycling distance of shops, schools and other community and leisure and facilities;
- designing layouts which facilitate walking and cycling to the nearest local services and bus stops, thereby reducing the need to use private cars for shorter journeys;
- maximising density where this is appropriate and justified;
- orientating buildings to make the best use of natural energy sources to provide natural light and heat;
- designing habitable rooms with large areas of clear glazing to make the most of the available daylight;
- creating sustainable microclimates, by retaining and using dense tree planting and earth mounding and shelterbelts to minimise wind chill and to reduce potential heat loss to buildings;
- selecting building materials which have the highest thermal insulation properties;
- fitting out developments with energy and water efficient appliances;
- incorporating photovoltaic (PV) panels into roof profiles in order to convert solar energy directly into electricity; and
- fitting solar thermal panels onto roofs in order to heat water stored in domestic water cylinders. Solar thermal energy is particularly efficient and can cost a lot less to install than other micro-generation technologies.



When planning larger developments, developers should consider the opportunities for decentralised and local renewable or low carbon sources of heat and power. These could include:

- biomass heating systems which use simple and well proven technology to produce energy. Biomass fuels (solid or liquid) are virtually carbon-neutral.
- combined heat and power (CHP) systems which can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 40%,



### **ADAPTABLE BUILDINGS**

In the interest of sustainability, buildings and spaces should be sufficiently flexible to respond to changes in the occupants needs, lifestyle and aspirations over time, i.e. people have children, they age and may become less physically mobile.

The most important consideration in designing a robust and adaptable home is the area of space it provides and can potentially accommodate in the future. There are also practical construction methods which can also be employed to make the execution of changes that much easier.

The Scottish Government Building Standards Division, part of the Built Environment Directorate, has recently made changes to the Building Regulations to specifically *future proof* dwellings to be more readily altered at a later date.

Advanced building technology can also contribute to the environmental performance of a house. In this regard, the council has produced guidance on the subject of digital ducting. It has been approved by the Council Executive and was written for the benefit of developers who wish to consider the laying of digital ducting, for the delivery of digital data services that are fit for purpose for digital service provision now and into the future. This can be viewed online on our Planning - policies page.

#### THE WATER ENVIRONMENT



### Water management

Water is a vital and increasingly scarce resource and the use per person has increased significantly over recent decades, mainly down to the growth in the number of households and greater use of water intensive white goods.

By incorporating water conservation measures into new developments, significant savings in water use can be made for the long-term benefit of the environment and with the added bonus of leaving home owners less vulnerable to possible future increases in water charges. Developers are therefore invited to consider:

- installing systems for recycling *greywater* for purposes such as flushing toilets and irrigation that do not require mains supplies;
- providing water efficient showers, toilets, taps and other appliances as standard; and
- providing water butts or community storage facilities to collect rainwater;.

## Watercourses and culverting

Developers will be required to integrate existing watercourses within a development rather than shutting them out, or worse still, culverting them. Both the council and SEPA have policies which presume against culverting. Culverting watercourses causes loss of important habitats, has a harmful affect on water quality and can increase the risk of flooding.

Where practicable, the opportunity should be taken to re-open culverts, re-establish natural watercourses, floodplains, channels, margins, wetlands and enhance their wildlife and biodiversity value. However, where development sites lie within the Aviation Safeguarding Zone of Edinburgh Airport, it is advisable to seek site specific advice from Development Management as there may be associated safety implications related to bodies of water and birds.

Developers will be required to install trash screens at the entrance to all culverts on site or on watercourses leading from sites, and additionally, in some circumstances, a security device to deter access. Trash and security screens must be designed in accordance with *Trash and Security Screens: a guide for flood risk management* (2009) which is produced by the Environment Agency in England.

Developers will be required to clarify which management agent will be responsible for the maintenance of culverts and screens where these do not form part of the surface water sewer to be maintained by Scottish Water. Passing responsibility to home owners by feuing conditions is not acceptable.

Other water bodies (eg. lochs and wetlands) should also be integrated into new developments.

Further advice can be obtained by referring to *Watercourses in the Community* (2010) by the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) and *Liquid Assets - making the most of our urban watercourses* (1998) published by the Institute of Civil Engineers.

SEPA's Habitat Enhancement Publications

# **Flooding**

Flooding (associated with watercourses) is a natural phenomenon, however the effects of a changing climate suggest that development can also be at risk from surface water runoff from higher ground and from limited capacity in traditional drainage systems. In West Lothian, obstruction and a lack of capacity associated with culverts has also been shown to be a significant cause of flooding.

As a general rule, the functional flood plains should be safeguarded from built development and/or included as part of the open space provision or green network, and the key principles of integrated drainage should be practiced, i.e.

- increased permeable surfacing;
- dealing with run-off as close to source as possible;
- minimising the amount going to underground drainage;
- maximising opportunities to manage surface water before it enters the sewer; and
- designing for exceedance by ensuring developments have flood plains /safe flow paths

The Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 has placed new responsibilities on SEPA, Scottish Water and local authorities to reduce overall flood risk and promote sustainable flood risk management.

To support the principles of this act, the council has adopted a precautionary approach to managing flood risk through avoidance as a first principle, considering flooding from all sources and working towards sustainable flood management. With predicted climate changes the incidence and extent of flooding is likely to increase in the future and the role of sustainable flood risk management should also be recognised as an important climate change adaption measure.

It is the responsibility of developers and the council to ensure that future development is not located on functional flood plains or in areas of significant flood risk and to accord with Planning Advice Note (PAN) 69, *Planning and Building Standards Advice on Flooding* or any subsequent iteration of this document as a revised planning policy on flooding is anticipated.

A revised *National Flood Risk Assessment* was published in December 2011 and SEPA has also prepared maps showing Local Plan Districts and Potentially Vulnerable Areas. These replace the previous series of flood maps and should now be the first point of reference for developers. The information is not definitive and does not deal with all types of flooding but it is a very useful initial guide.

Information relating specifically to West Lothian can be accessed on the SEPA website.

High resolution versions of these maps are available from SEPA's flood risk management planning team.

Additionally, the council holds some of its own information on historical flood events and this data can be shared with developers on request to the Flood Risk Manager (see useful contacts at the end of document).

In accordance with the SEPA/ Planning Authority Protocol, SEPA works with local authorities to access flood risk issues associated with new developments and is a statutory consultee for developments that are likely to result in a material increase in the number of buildings at flood risk. A copy of an updated interim protocol (2011) can be viewed on the SEPA website. Further revisions to the Protocol are expected as a consequence of the new Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009.

The SEPA Interim Position Statement on Planning and Flooding sets out more fully its role and policy position on flooding relative to land use planning.

It is incumbent upon prospective developers to take flood risk into account before committing themselves to a site or project, to undertake robust flood risk assessment and drainage assessment when required and to implement agreed measures to deal with flood risk.

It is also important that developers consult and liaise with the council's Flood Risk Manager, Development Management, Transportation and Scottish Water.

Where a development site is in an area where there is a limited drainage capacity or considered by the council to be susceptible to flooding, or where the proposals are likely to exacerbate an existing flood risk, developers will be required to assess the risk posed by their development (e.g. possible effect on flood risk elsewhere, and consider the specific risk of flooding to the proposed development over its expected lifetime taking into account the effects of the changing climate). Ordinarily, this will require the commissioning and submission of:

- a drainage assessment in order to evaluate the overall impact of development on land drainage, surface and wastewater networks; and / or
- a flood risk assessment in order to address flood risk to the proposed development from any source and the implications on other areas if the site were to be developed.

As these are highly specialised undertakings they must be carried out by competent hydrological and engineering professionals with demonstrable experience of this type of work and must conform to the requirements set out in SEPA's guidance *Drainage assessment - A guide for Scotland*. Technical flood risk guidance for stakeholders (Version 3), and also Ciria C624 *Development and flood risk guidance for the construction industry* (ISBN: 978-0-86017-624-4)

The subject policy relative to planning and flooding in SPP sets out the national context for planning and flooding.

In 2008, the council approved separate SPG on flooding issues in West Lothian and developers are encouraged to familiarise themselves with this. This guidance can be requested from the council or accessed at our Planning - policies webpage

# **Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDs)**

Development reduces surface permeability by replacing previously vegetated ground with roofs and paved areas and through compaction of other areas by vehicular movements. This reduces the amount of water infiltrating into the ground and increases the speed of surface run-off. Any built-up area therefore needs to be drained to remove the excess water or it will flood.

Traditional drainage techniques include the use of underground pipe systems, designed to convey water away as quickly as possible and thus prevent flooding locally. This however has been shown to cause flooding and, in some instances, pollution downstream of urban areas. Legislation has prompted the adoption of more sustainable solutions for dealing with surface water drainage.

Sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDs) use techniques to control and manage surface water run-off as close to its sources as possible before entering a watercourse. SUDs can contribute to the recharge of groundwater and, when incorporated into roads design, can reduce pollution.

The provision of SUDs, in managing surface water run-off, is a key requirement of nearly all residential development schemes and developers must establish the extent and destination of post-development run-off and provide on site treatment and attenuation proposals acceptable to both the council, SEPA and Scottish Water.

SEPA advise that for residential developments of more than 50 dwellings, two levels of

SUDs treatment may be required, including all hardstanding areas and roads. An exception is run-off from roofs which requires only one level of treatment. It is recommended, as best practice, that the second level of treatment should be a basin or pond designed in accordance with *Sewers for Scotland Second Edition*. Reference should also be made to SEPA's regulatory method statement entitled *Regulation of Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDs)*.

Surface water must be drained to a water course, overland discharge area or surface water sewer. Only if this cannot be achieved should permission be sought to attenuate and drain to a combined sewer, but such approval cannot be assumed



or guaranteed and will be at the discretion of Scottish Water on a case by case basis. Scottish Water usually insist that run off be attenuated.

To be successfully accommodated, SUDs must be considered as early as possible in the site evaluation and design process and not introduced as an afterthought. **The SUDs strategy must inform the layout of a development, not the reverse.** 

Planning applications, whether in principle or detailed, will require to demonstrate how SUDs will be incorporated into development proposals together with the extent of land required.

The impact of development on the whole surface water catchment area must be considered, particularly the potential for adverse affects such as flooding or pollution beyond the site.

Site layout should seek to minimise impacts on the natural, built and water environment by reducing the quantity and impact of surface water run off, improving its quality and maximising the creation of amenity and habitats. The ecological value of SUDS is encouraged and should, where possible, include retention and enhancement of natural drainage systems and features.

The council, (specifically Development Management, Transportation and the Flood Risk Manager) Scottish Water and SEPA must be consulted on the selection and design of SUDS proposals and conditions will be imposed on planning permission to secure the implementation of the necessary works.

Where developers are proposing an open water body for the treatment and attenuation of surface water from the site, attention is drawn to the need for a risk assessment. It is recommended that reference is made to the RoSPA publication *Safety at Inland Water Sites - Operational Guidelines* First edition, 1999 (ISBN No. 1 85088 092 1).

New bodies of open water, within the safeguarding zone of Edinburgh airport will be the subject of statutory consultation with BAA as they can potentially create a bird strike risk.

It will ordinarily be a requirement that surface water drainage systems be vested in Scottish Water as drainage authority and will, as a consequence, be designed and constructed in accord with Scottish Water's *Construction Standards and Vesting Conditions* (2007).

There have been particular difficulties associated with pumping stations provided by developers, but not yet adopted by Scottish Water, which have failed on development sites that are already occupied and in circumstances where the developer may have gone out of business or is financially unable to resource the necessary remedial works. In attempting to address this issue, Development Management will ascertain from Scottish Water whether a pumping station is required for a particular development, and steps will then be taken to ensure that this is explicitly provided for as part of the application for planning permission. Enforcement will however remain the responsibility of Scottish Water.

In 2008, the council approved SPG on SUDS and developers are encouraged to familiarise themselves with this. The guidance can be found on our Planning - policies webpage

Further guidance is available in Planning Advice Note (PAN) 79, Water and Drainage.

Under the *Roads (Scotland) Act 1984*, the council, as roads authority, is responsible for the provision of surface water drainage for adopted public roads. Effective road drainage is fundamental for road safety and to the integrity and structural stability of the road. When considering construction consents, the roads authority will need to be satisfied that sustainable drainage systems satisfy road drainage requirements and will not be too onerous to maintain.

A best practice guidance manual entitled *SUDs For Roads* has been produced by industry professionals and academics.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS**

### **Ground conditions**

West Lothian has a history of past coal mining activity and this has left a legacy of potentially suspect ground conditions. It is therefore important that development proposals afford due consideration to the presence of mining hazards, such as entries (shafts and adits) and shallow mine workings.

Within known areas of past mining activity, Coal Mining Development Referral Areas (CMDRAs), the council will require planning applications to be accompanied by a coal mining risk assessment, informed by up to date coal mining information for the site, with appropriate remedial measures identified, if necessary to ensure the safety and stability of new development.

The council can advise developers if a site lies within a CMDRA, or it can be checked online at http://coal.decc.gov.uk/assets/coal/whatwedo/west\_lothian\_referral.pdf

It is important that a risk assessment is undertaken by an appropriately qualified and competent person who is familiar with ground stability and mining legacy related issues, preferably, an accredited member of a professional institution such as the Geological Society, the Institute of Civil Engineers, the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy or the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors.

The Coal Authority holds and maintains the national coal mining database and also offers a mining reports service. It can be contacted at <a href="http://coal.decc.gov.uk/en/coal/cms/contact/contact.aspx">http://coal.decc.gov.uk/en/coal/cms/contact/contact.aspx</a>

In line with SPP, new development proposals within areas of surface coal resources should explore opportunities for prior extraction of the resource to avoid it being sterilised unnecessarily. Prior extraction of remnant shallow coal can also prove to be a more economically viable method of remediation than ground filling of voids.

## **Management of soils**

One of the most recurring complaints from residents concerns land drainage, and these are usually received some time after a development has been completed. These typically cite garden ground as being impermeable and therefore prone to flooding in the winter months and baked dry in the summer.

Research undertaken for the *West Lothian Soil Sustainability Report*, published by the council in 2004, indicated that the majority of soils in West Lothian ranged from boulder clay to sandy loam and that poor natural drainage was to be expected. However the source of complaints, for the most part, was more directly attributable to the mismanagement of soils on development sites. Materials tend to be moved by vehicles and these operate on the subsoil layer, tracking nearly all parts of the site and in all weathers. It is therefore little surprise that compaction ensues.

Unfortunately, it has not been unknown for developers to address subsoil compaction by simply over laying the completed ground with topsoil. This is, however, a purely cosmetic exercise. It does not satisfactorily address the problem and is wholly unacceptable.

The report sets out good soil management practices which developers are required to have regard to and which include:

- storing topsoil in accordance with agreed guidelines to maintain its health and vitality and to avoid contamination, it is particularly important that there is no intermixing of subsoil with topsoil;
- minimising the area of disturbance during construction and fencing areas where soils and vegetation are to remain undisturbed; and
- not handling and trafficking soil during periods of wet weather and saturated ground conditions.

Additional practical advice for developers is also contained in the *Construction Code* of *Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils in Construction Sites*. Produced by the UK Government Department for Environment, Food & Affairs, it is nevertheless equally relevant to developments in Scotland.

To ensure that contractors conform to correct soil handling, and to avoid compaction, it is recommended that works accord with NBS (National Building Specification) Sections D20 and Q28 which specifically deal with soil handling.

Policy ENV 8 of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan 2009* requires applications for all greenfield development sites in excess of 1ha to be accompanied by an assessment of soils. A distinct Development Management policy, *The management and after-use of soils on development sites*, consistent with this policy, has also been produced and additionally embraces development on large brownfield sites and other smaller sites.

#### **Contaminated land**



An increasing number of new dwellings are being constructed on previously developed sites, i.e., on *brownfield* land, and developers need to be aware that such sites are more prone to contamination and should be prepared to undertake site investigations and any necessary remedial action.

Land which is contaminated can render potential development sites incapable of beneficial use unless hazards capable of causing harm to human health or the wider environment are assessed and dealt with. Land may be contaminated by a wide range of substances and materials in the form of solids, liquids or gases and each site will require specific investigation dependent on its former and proposed uses.

Ordinarily, there will be a general presumption in favour of proposals for the rehabilitation of derelict and contaminated sites, where there is no significant immediate or long-term threat to local amenity and the environment, and where proposals are consistent with other policies.

In 2009, the council approved separate SPG entitled *Development of land potentially affected by contamination* and developers are encouraged to familiarise themselves with this.

The guidance sets out what is required from developers as part of the planning process when contamination of land is suspected and should be read very carefully. It includes the stages of site investigation and risk assessment which are needed to determine the nature of the contamination; and the standard of remediation which is required to ensure the land is suitable for the intended use. Residential development as an end use for a contaminated site will, justifiably, require the most rigorous standard of land remediation.

Site investigation and contaminated land risk assessment is a complex process and must be undertaken by appropriately qualified and competent individuals. West Lothian Council will only accept site investigation reports that have been carried out in accordance with the relevant British Standards, good practice and current authoritative guidance.

Applications for planning permission and building warrants may be refused where the council is not satisfied that the site has been fully characterised, or that appropriate measures are in place to ensure the safe remediation of the site.

Further guidance on the development of contaminated land is set out in Scottish Government Planning Advice Note (PAN) 33, *Development of Contaminated Land* and by contacting the council's Contaminated Land Officer whose details are at the end of this document.

## Major accident hazard pipelines and potential hazard zones

There is a well established network of underground pipelines throughout West Lothian in both urban and rural locations, mainly gas and ethylene and operated by National Grid, BP and ESSAR OIL UK (formerly Shell Oil UK). There are also other locations, mainly industrial, where significant quantities of chemical or potentially hazardous materials are stored.

These may not always be obvious and it is therefore extremely important that developers make themselves aware of the existence of such pipelines and installations and how they relate to a potential development site as early as possible.

Development Management maintain a record of notified pipeline routes and installations, designated by virtue of the quantities of hazardous substance present, and are also able to advise on the relevant consultation zone details. Where necessary, developers can also be helped with contacting the appropriate operator.

Developers may wish to refer to advice on the siting of development in the vicinity of major hazard sites contained in the HSE Document PADHI – HSE's Land Use Planning Methodology

As local planning authority, the council has a statutory duty to exert control over the kinds of development in the vicinity of these installations, to prevent and limit the consequences of accidents and to maintain appropriate distances between such establishments and residential areas, areas of public use and sensitive environments. Where a proposed development would be near to a major hazard pipeline or installation, the council is required to refer the planning application to the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) and to then have regard to it's response when determining the application.

## Air quality

Clean air is an essential ingredient of a good quality of life and people have a right to expect that the air they breathe will not harm them.

Developers seeking planning permission have a joint responsibility with the council to ensure that appropriate standards of air quality are maintained or improved and consideration should therefore be given to the impact of development on air quality. Developers must, at the very least, be able to demonstrate that their proposals will not give rise to any further deterioration of existing air quality

Air quality data and air quality management areas in West Lothian can be accessed on the 2011 Air Quality Progress Report and at our Air pollution webpage.

Planning applications in respect of proposals that are considered to impact on or be affected by air quality issues will be required to be supported by a statement indicating:

- the change in air quality resulting from the proposed development; and
- what actions have been considered to reduce the impact of the proposal on air quality.

It may be necessary for an applicant to commission an Air Quality Impact Assessment in support of an application, and in such instances where this is required, it must be undertaken by appropriately qualified and competent individuals. Conditions may subsequently be imposed on the grant of a planning permission requiring air quality monitoring apparatus to be installed for a specified period of time and the costs of this shall be borne by the developer. In circumstances where the council has already established a monitoring presence, developer contributions may instead be acceptable.

Further guidance is set out in Planning Advice Note (PAN) 51, *Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation*.

Developers are also encouraged to consult with the council's Environmental Health and Trading Standards section for specific guidance and advice at the earliest opportunity. Contact details are at the end of this document.

### Radon gas

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas and is the most common source of public radiation exposure in the UK. Every building contains radon but the levels are usually low. The chances of a higher level depend on the type of ground.

Recent mapping information, issued by the Health Protection Agency, shows that the main areas with elevated radon are to be found in Aberdeenshire, Highland and Orkney but it has also identified a number of pockets of elevated radon potential in the central belt of Scotland, including parts of West Lothian, which are at risk of exceeding recommended levels. In these locations, additional safeguarding measures will require to be implemented if new development is to take place.

The new indicative map of radon in Scotland can be viewed at http://www.ukradon.org/downloads/Reports/HPA\_CRCE\_023\_Named.pdf (16MB)

A range of techniques to combat high indoor radon concentrations are available to developers and these are detailed in a report published by the Health Protection Agency entitled An Analysis Of Radon Remediation Methods.

The government is also amending building regulations guidance to ensure that all new buildings and new extensions proposed within identified risk areas are constructed with the required radon protection measures.

Developers seeking further information and advice should contact the Health Protection Agency directly. Contact details are at the end of this document.

#### **Noise**

It can be difficult to reconcile housing with other activities which have the potential to generate high levels of noise and all new dwellings must be sensitively located so that they are a satisfactory distance away from major roads and specific land uses such as railways, airports, flight paths, industrial premises, distribution depots, sports facilities, and, increasingly, businesses operating 24 hours or which are part of the so called *night time* economy.

Consideration must also be afforded to committed proposals which have planning permission or which benefit from a local plan allocation and which may present a potential noise source in the future.

In some instances physical noise mitigating measures may be required to make development proposals acceptable. These measures might require buildings to be screened by landscaped bunds and the use of acoustic fencing and sound insulating materials. For maximum effect, barriers should be as near to the noise source as possible.



All housing should be built with acoustic insulation and tested to current Building Standards, but acoustic insulation should not be relied upon as the only means of limiting noise. Internal layouts should also be configured to avoid incompatible room uses and to limit the effect of noise transfer from adjoining or stacked properties. To be effective, such considerations must be taken proper account of at the design stage.

Care must however be taken to ensure that the use of noise attenuation measures outlined above, does not result in a development layout which is in direct conflict with good urban design principles.

Where mitigation of noise impact is considered necessary and acceptable, the council will impose conditions to achieve the required measures on the planning consent. However, if noise issues cannot be satisfactorily overcome, planning permission may be refused.

In 2006, the council approved separate SPG entitled *Planning and noise* and developers are encouraged to familiarise themselves with this.

The SPG takes into account current policy in relation to planning and noise and provides guidance on undertaking noise assessments which may be required in support of a planning application.

Where a noise impact assessment is required, it should be scoped and agreed with the council's Environmental Health Manager as part of the pre-application process and must then be undertaken by appropriately qualified and competent individuals, usually a noise consultant. Contact details are at the end of this document.

Further guidance is set out in Planning Advice Note 1/2011, *Planning and Noise*.

## **Light pollution**

The manner in which residential developments are lit can make a positive contribution to the environment and the following general principles should be taken into consideration in designing lighting within a new development:

- street lighting should be planned as an integral part of the development;
- all public areas should be well lit and street lighting should illuminate both the carriageway and the footway;
- Lighting should be thoughtfully designed to avoid unnecessary clutter and possible problems of light pollution. For sites within the Aviation Safeguarding Zone of Edinburgh Airport, there is an additional safety dimension to consider and site specific advice should be sought from Development Management.
- consideration should be given to attaching lighting units to buildings;
- all pathways and parking areas should be lit for safety; and
- lighting fixtures should be selected for their energy efficiency properties as much as for their design and appearance. They should be strong and durable and easily maintainable.

Lighting should generally be in accordance with these building standards: BSEN 13201-2, BSEN 13201-3, and BSEN 13201-4.

Light pollution is a *statutory nuisance* under Part III of the *Environmental Protection Act 1990*, as introduced by the *Public Health etc (Scotland) Act 2008*, and Planning Advice Note (PAN) 51, *Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation* makes it clear that it is the responsibility of planning authorities and the environmental protection bodies to collaborate in the task of protecting the environment, to apply controls so that duplication is minimised and to ensure overlap is avoided whenever possible.

The council will therefore seek to prevent statutory nuisances where lighting forms part of a planning application and may seek to regulate lighting as part of planning conditions and obligations.

In 2009, the council approved separate SPG entitled *Controlling light pollution and reducing lighting energy consumption*, and developers are encouraged to familiarise themselves with this.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a tool used to predict the environmental impacts of a project. It presents an opportunity to fully explore the extent of impacts upon the environment, consider where alternative approaches may be more suitable and to consider where appropriate mitigation measures will be required.

The *Town and County Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations* 2011 require planning applications for a wide range of development projects, mostly of a major scale, to be accompanied by an Environmental Assessment (EA).

Some projects (known as Annex 1) must **always** be subject to EIA, while other projects (Annex 2) need only be subject to EIA when they are likely to have significant effects on the environment by virtue of their size or location.

As far as residential development is concerned, it is not the type of development embraced by Annex 1. It could however potentially constitute an Annex 2 project under the category of *urban development projects*, if the area of the development site is in excess of 0.5 hectares and where residential development is likely to have significant environmental effects because of factors such as its nature, size or location. In such circumstances, a formal determination of whether or not EIA is required must be sought from the council and this should be done as early as possible.

Additional guidance can be found in Planning Advice Note (PAN) 58, Environmental Impact Assessment, The Environmental Impact Assessment (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2009 and Circular 8/2007 (As Amended): The Environmental Impact Assessment (Scotland) Regulations 1999.

## **CONSTRUCTION WASTE**

The Scottish Government has adopted Zero Waste as a goal and in 2010 published the *Zero Waste Plan* (ZWP). In accordance with SPP, the goal of Zero Waste means following a *waste hierarchy* (an order of preference) for how waste is dealt with i.e. elimating the unnecessary use of raw materials, then reusing and recycling products with disposal the last option.

Site Waste Management Plans (SWMP) can help achieve this objective during the construction and operation of developments and advice on how to prepare such plans is available on the *netregs* website and from *Envirowise* who also provide free advice on resource efficiency. Further advice on the reuse of demolition and excavation materials is available from the Waste and Resources Action Programme. Additional guidance can also be found at SEPAs webite.

SEPA: http://sepa.org.uk/

Financial statisics at your fingertips: http://www.netregs-swmp.co.uk/

Site waste management plan (SWMP) regulations guide - GG899: http://aggregain.wrap.org.uk/waste\_management\_regulations/waste\_management\_regulations\_scotland/index.html

AggRegain: http://aggregain.wrap.org.uk/

The council is obliged to ensure that both the necessary policies and facilities are in place to reduce the amount of waste generated, to increase the amount of re-use and recycling and to encourage householders to engage and participate more effectively.

Consistent with this strategic direction, policy NWR 11 of the adopted West Lothian Local Plan 2009 advises that proposals for new housing must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the council that the generation of waste during the construction period has been minimised and that any residual waste will be managed in a sustainable manner.

The best way to tackle the problem of waste during construction is of course for developers to produce less of it. Storing materials correctly and adopting a more rigorous ordering regime in order to minimise waste can all help.

Waste from development sites can contain a variety of different materials and if not disposed of properly, there is a risk of pollution. Harmful, even dangerous substances, e.g. asbestos, are sometimes contained in building waste and these need to be removed carefully.

Generally, any waste removed from a development site must be deposited either at a site properly licensed by SEPA or at a site for which a relevant exempt activity has been registered.

The council has produced SPG entitled Getting rid of demolition and building waste.

#### **DOMESTIC HOUSEHOLD WASTE**

With regard to the necessary provisions for the treatment and disposal of household waste, it is important that developers take cognisance as early as possible of the requirement to provide for dedicated bin storage/recyclable/compostable waste storage space in their developments to accommodate:

- provision within dwellings for facilities to separate and store different types of waste at source;
- provision within the curtilage of dwellings or within the development for composting;
- kerbside collections, including adequate vehicle turning facilities; and
- centralised facilities within the development for the public to deposit materials for recycling and recovery.

Details should be submitted with the planning application.

The council currently operates various multi bin collection systems, depending on the form and geographical location of the residential property, and developers will need to establish at an early stage which arrangement they should be designing for.

Developers should be aware that it is council policy that they incur the cost of the appropriate waste collection bins (the council will source and may supply) and that this will also be made a condition of any planning permission granted for residential properties.

In larger scale residential developments, such as the CDAs, developers may also be required to make opportunities available for recycling facilities such as paper banks and textile banks.

As a general rule, bin storage areas should be sensitively designed to minimise their visual impact, covered, secured, and made easily accessible to all residents.

- bin stores should be constructed in brick or timber and should have metal protection plates on the inner walls to prevent damage by bins;
- there must be sufficient room within each bin store to accommodate the bins and to facilitate access and movement (required by residents, factors and waste operatives) without having to move other bins;
- entry/exit should be a minimum width of 600mm to allow sufficient access/egress;
- bin stores should be constructed to allow bins to be forward facing so they can be identified; and
- the maintenance of bin stores should be covered by a factoring arrangement.

## Typical bin dimensions\*

	HEIGHT	WIDTH	DEPTH
140 litres	1060 mm	480 mm	550 mm
240 litres	1060 mm	575 mm	730 mm
360 litres	1080 mm	580 mm	875 mm
1100 litres	1390 mm	1260 mm	1000 mm



## Access for service vehicles\*

Roads should ordinarily be constructed to an adoptable standard. Direct vehicle access is required to all locations at all times including phased construction sites;

Road widths and turning heads must be able to accommodate waste collection vehicles;

Hammerheads, and turning circles will be required to avoid/minimise reversing manoeuvres and should be designed to prevent parking from obstructing access to the site.

The following dimensions relate to the largest vehicle likely to service waste containers in new developments.

Maximum operating length	12 metres
Maximum width	2.55 metres
Maximum height	4.5 metres
Maximum laden weight	26 tonnes
Turning circle	18 metres

<sup>\*</sup> It should be noted that these specifications are correct at the time of writing. However, they may be subject to change in the future and developers should liaise directly with the Waste Services Manager to establish their current requirements and contact details are provided at the end of this document.

Residents will be required to bring their refuse and recycling containers to their front property boundary, and the design should facilitate this with a view to ameliorating the problems of multiple bins obstructing footways.

Where roads are not constructed to an adoptable standard, or where the development includes private accesses or parking courts, it should be noted that the council will only collect bins from the public highway. Satisfactory provision must therefore be made for residents to get the bins to an agreed collection point (in line with previous noted standards re size of store/area and within kerbside collection criteria including distance from kerbside path to vehicle requirements).

The distance between individual properties and the bin store requires to be agreed with Waste Management Services in consultation with Environmental Health & Trading Standards.

- dropped kerbs should be provided at the entrance to bin stores to a width of at least 600mm;
- where a communal bin store is to be provided, it should be no more than 10 metres from a dropped kerb and the collection vehicle must also be able to access the dropped kerb where the bins will come off; and
- surfaces should be able to be cleaned without risk of permanent staining.

Waste and recycling is a rapidly changing field and the council may over time have additional requirements regarding refuse, green waste and recycling. It is therefore important that Waste Services are consulted at an early design stage of any proposal.



## **IMPACT OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS**

Developers will be required to submit a written statement to the council which outlines the measures to be taken to reduce the impact of construction work on the environment and to have it approved by the council prior to starting works on site.

In particular, the statement should detail the measures which the developer will take to:

- avoid substances seeping into watercourses;
- avoid noise, vibration and dust nuisance;
- ensure that roads and footpaths in the vicinity of the site are kept debris free;
- ensure that disturbance to existing residents from construction traffic is minimised; and
- ensure that road gulleys remain clean and free from obstruction pre-adoption.

Proposed locations for site compounds, soil storage and temporary car parks for contractors and employees must be provided as part of this statement.

Developers are reminded that the council has powers under the *Roads (Scotland) Act 1984* to serve notices requiring public roads and footpaths to be kept mud free and vehicle operators and contractors who deposit mud on the road are potentially liable for a range of offences. The council also has powers under the *Environmental Protection Act 1990* to deal with statutory nuisance. SEPA also has powers to deal with developers who pollute watercourses.

The council has approved SPG entitled *Mud on Roads*. This provides information for developers to minimise the possibility of mud pollution on to roads from this sites they are developing in the interest of road safety.



## THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

While it is only very rarely likely to be the case that significant new residential development will be proposed in locations of a highly sensitive historic nature, for example, within a conservation area or a designed landscape, it is recognised that new development on the periphery of such areas can also have an effect on their character and setting, and also on specific listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments.

In such circumstances, developers are encouraged to have regard to recently published guidance by Historic Scotland entitled *New Design in Historic Settings* which provides a helpful toolkit and design standards for new design in historic places.

#### **PUBLIC ART**

In order to enhance the new environments being created, developers of larger housing schemes, and certain other significant developments, are required to contribute to public art in one of two ways.

Some may commission, implement and own art while others may agree to make a contribution to the council's Public Art Fund. This fund is designed to assist the gathering of smaller contributions from a number of developments over time in order to support the commissioning of projects in the area in which the development is located.


The councils Public Art Strategy is set out in supplementary planning guidance.

A statement outlining how the applicant intends to address the requirements for contributions towards public art should accompany any planning application for qualifying developments. Where the developer intends to commission and implement a project rather than make a financial contribution the planning application should include a Public Art Plan.

It is important that developers engage in early pre-application discussions with the council Arts Development Officer so that the requirements for public art can be identified and addressed. Contact details are at the end of this document.

Further advice, together with details of the most up to date requirements, are set out in SPG *Developer contributions to public art*.

### **DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS**



Developer contributions enable the council to ensure that developments are properly provided with infrastructure, services and facilities. They enable developments to proceed that might otherwise be refused planning permission.

The principle of developers making contributions (financial or in kind) towards the provision of the necessary infrastructure to support their developments is well established, both in law and in practice across the UK.

West Lothian Council provides clear and comprehensive guidance for landowners and developers to ensure that they can take the cost of these requirements into account even before engaging in the formal development planning process. Specifically, it has put in place a series of SPG that support the implementation of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan* 2009 and, in time the *Local Development Plan*.

These SPGs set out developer contribution requirements and/or identify principles that will be adopted by the council in preparing strategies for the provision of new infrastructure and/or facilities that developers will be required to contribute to. The SPGs are material considerations in the determination of planning applications and currently relate to the following subject areas:

- Affordable housing;
- Planning for education;
- School commissioning costs;
- Denominational secondary education infrastructure;
- Replacement Armadale Academy;
- Provision of additional primary school capacity for the denominational sector in Broxburn, East Calder and Winchburgh
- Armadale Primary Schools;

- Whitburn Academy;
- Blackridge Station;
- Park and ride facilities at Armadale Railway Station;
- Replacement Armadale Library;
- A71 corridor study bus priority measures;
- A801 dualling;
- Partnership approach to deliver infrastructure;
- Travel plan co-ordinator;
- Co-location principles;
- Public art;
- Towns and village centre improvements;
- Cemetery provision; and
- Professional services.

No application will be reported to elected members with a favourable recommendation until at least the heads of terms have been agreed in writing with the council on the scale and precise nature of developer contributions necessary.

The SPGs will be kept under review and it is likely that further SPGs will be prepared and adopted in due course. Developers are therefore advised to seek confirmation of the SPGs in force before embarking on projects.

SPGs can be viewed and downloaded on our Planning - policies webpage.

Developer contributions are most commonly secured through a Section 75 obligation or other legal agreement which will require to be concluded with the council before a planning permission can be released. In some instances the paying over of a cheque or bankers draft may suffice and Development Management officers will be pleased to advise.

Circular 3/2012, *Planning Obligations and Good Neighbour Agreements* provides guidance on the circumstances where planning agreements can be used. In general, contributions can only be sought where they are required in order for the development to proceed and where the contribution concerned is related in scale and kind to the proposed development.

Other necessary off-site works may be dealt with by the use of suspensive conditions but only where this is deemed to be appropriate.

It is extremely important to discuss the likely level of contributions with council officers as early as possible in order that the costs can be built into developers' and landowners' financial appraisals, ideally before any property transactions have been completed.



## **PLANNING FOR EDUCATION**

Such is the importance of this issue that it merits being discussed separately from other infrastructure considerations.

New residential communities can generate a demand for a significant number of new school places, particularly where families are attracted to the area. In such cases, it is vital to the process of supporting sustainable communities that the planning system facilitates the timely provision of new school buildings and/or school provision.

The complexity of the situation in West Lothian, however demands, that Development Management take advice on the education provision implications of each proposal for residential development from Education Planning and no application will be concluded until a rigorous assessment of existing schools capacity and/or the provision of new school facilities allied to the proposed development has been completed.

The response of Education Planning will be informed by school roll projections produced by a forecasting model which has been operated by the council since 1996. In the interests of transparency, this is explained in some detail is the SPG entitled *Planning for education*.

There are in fact several SPGs relating to education matters, all of which can be viewed on our Planning - policies webpage.

It is particularly important that developers/landowners engage in early pre-application discussions with the council so that education issues can be identified and addressed. Enquiries should, in the first instance, be directed to Development Management staff and they will in turn liaise with education colleagues and arrange for a bespoke corporate response to be produced.

## Appendix 1

## **NEW PLANNING APPLICATION PROCEDURES**

## **Hierarchy of development**

New requirements for processing planning applications were introduced under the *Town* and *Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2008* and it is important that developers understand how these may relate to their proposals, particularly with regard to the possible time and resource implications.

Of particular relevance is the introduction of the *hierarchy of development* concept. The hierarchy sets out the approach to be used for dealing with planning applications depending on which of the three categories a proposal falls within and the procedures for making and handling planning applications varies between these three categories.

National developments are designated in the National Planning Framework and would not ordinarily embrace residential development.

A residential development will, instead, fall within either the *major* or *local* development classification with *major* proposals being defined as those comprising 50 or more dwellings (this includes flats as well as houses); or where the area of the application site exceeds 2 hectares. By default, *local* developments are those that are neither *national* nor *major*. The main practical implications of a proposals being deemed to be *major* are:

- they become subject to a statutory pre-application consultation process between the developer and local communities;
- a proposal of application notice (PAC) must be lodged with the council at least 12 weeks prior to the submission of an application for planning permission;
- major planning application requires to be accompanied by Design and Access Statements.

Proposals which affect a conservation area, a historic garden or designed landscape, a *National Scenic Area*, the site of a scheduled monument or the curtilage of a category A listed building will also require to be accompanied by a design statement.

If a *Design and Access Statement* is statutorily required but is not submitted with the planning application, then the application may be invalidated until the statement is subsequently lodged.

## Appendix 2

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Applications for planning permission should be accompanied by appropriate supporting studies to explain and justify the development. The scope of information to be submitted with an application should be the subject of discussions at pre-application stage but could include:

- Environmental Statement;
- Ecological Survey;
- Arboricultural Survey;
- Drainage Assessment;
- Flood Risk Assessment;
- Noise Survey;
- Air Quality Survey;
- Transport Statement / Transport Assessment;
- Archaeology Survey;
- Coal Mining Risk Assessment
- Contamination & Remediation Assessment;
- Masterplan
- Design & Access Statement;
- Open Space Assessment;
- Streetscape views for infill sites, larger scale residential development or sites within a conservation area;
- Public Art Plan; and
- Details of how planning obligation provisions are to be met (perhaps including draft heads of terms)

This list is by no means exhaustive and will vary depending on the specific development proposals and the location of the site. Developers should not underestimate the time and cost to prepare these necessary studies required for the council to consider the grant of planning permission.

For sites which are allocated in the development plan, a response to any issues identified in Appendix 6.1 of the adopted *West Lothian Local Plan* (or any corresponding schedule in the *West Lothian Local Development Plan*) should be provided.

## Appendix 3 **CHECKLIST OF KEY CONSIDERATIONS**

<ul> <li>□ Has an analysis of the site and its context been undertaken?</li> <li>□ Has this resulted in a clear design concept for the site being established?</li> <li>□ Does the proposed design respond to local identity and is it specific to the scheme?</li> <li>□ Does the proposed development feel like a place with a distinctive character?</li> <li>□ Does the development make the most beneficial use of the site opportunities?</li> <li>□ Are streets defined by a well-structured building layout?</li> <li>□ Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?</li> <li>□ Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?</li> <li>□ Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?</li> <li>□ Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?</li> <li>□ Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?</li> <li>□ Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?</li> <li>□ Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?</li> <li>□ Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?</li> <li>□ Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?</li> <li>□ Hase each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?</li> <li>□ Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?</li> <li>□ Has active open spaces and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>□ Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>□ Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>□ Have the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>□ Are building materials robust, high quality, dur</li></ul>			
Does the proposed design respond to local identity and is it specific to the scheme?  Does the proposed development feel like a place with a distinctive character?  Does the development make the most beneficial use of the site opportunities?  Are streets defined by a well-structured building layout?  Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?  Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Date buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	0	Has an analysis of the site and its context been undertaken?	
Does the proposed development feel like a place with a distinctive character?  Does the development make the most beneficial use of the site opportunities?  Are streets defined by a well-structured building layout?  Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?  Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and ado they feel safe?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?	0	Has this resulted in a clear design concept for the site being established?	
Does the development make the most beneficial use of the site opportunities?  Are streets defined by a well-structured building layout?  Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?  Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and macce for public spaces?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?	0	Does the proposed design respond to local identity and is it specific to the scheme?	
Des the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?  Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	0	Does the proposed development feel like a place with a distinctive character?	
Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?  Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	О	Does the development make the most beneficial use of the site opportunities?	
Does the layout create a connected network of public streets and spaces that are accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	О	Are streets defined by a well-structured building layout?	
accessible to all?  Have existing movement routes and connections to surrounding areas been considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	О	Does the layout make it easy to navigate through the development?	
considered?  Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?  Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	o	·	
Do the buildings and spaces take priority over roads and car parking so that the roads do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
do not dominate?  Does the proposal minimise the need for car travel and promote other more sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	О	Are new or improved connections created to existing facilities?	
sustainable modes of transport?  Has priority been given to pedestrians and cyclists within the road and street network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	О		
network?  Is the development well-connected to community facilities, such as a school, parks, play areas, shops etc?  Does the development have easy access to public transport?  Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?  Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?  Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?  Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?  Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?  Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?  Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?  Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?  Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?  Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features  Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?  Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?  Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?  Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?  Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	o		
play areas, shops etc? Does the development have easy access to public transport? Does the development extend or provide new public transport services? Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of? Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout? Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed? Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces? Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe? Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist? Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources? Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors? Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements? Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant? Have the needs of cyclists been addressed? Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>□ Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?</li> <li>□ Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?</li> <li>□ Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?</li> <li>□ Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?</li> <li>□ Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>□ Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>□ Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>□ Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>□ Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>□ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>□ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>□ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>□ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>□ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>□ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>☐ Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?</li> <li>☐ Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?</li> <li>☐ Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?</li> <li>☐ Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>☐ Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>☐ Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>☐ Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>☐ Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>☐ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>☐ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>☐ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Does the development have easy access to public transport?	
<ul> <li>☐ Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?</li> <li>☐ Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?</li> <li>☐ Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>☐ Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>☐ Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>☐ Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>☐ Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>☐ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>☐ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>☐ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Does the development extend or provide new public transport services?	
<ul> <li>□ Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?</li> <li>□ Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>□ Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>□ Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>□ Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>□ Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>□ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>□ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>□ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>□ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>□ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>□ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Have amenity considerations (privacy, daylighting, etc) been taken full account of?	
<ul> <li>Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?</li> <li>Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Has each dwelling adequate external amenity space related to its size?	
<ul> <li>Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?</li> <li>Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Do amenity open spaces form a purposeful and positive part of the layout?	
<ul> <li>Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?</li> <li>Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Has active open space and play space provision been identified and agreed?	
<ul> <li>☐ Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?</li> <li>☐ Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>☐ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>☐ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>☐ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Have appropriate management arrangements been put in place for public spaces?	
<ul> <li>Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?</li> <li>Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	O	Are public spaces and pedestrian routes overlooked and do they feel safe?	
<ul> <li>☐ Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features</li> <li>☐ Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>☐ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Has the layout been checked against the Secure by Design checklist?	
<ul> <li>Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?</li> <li>Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Are building materials robust, high quality, durable and from sustainable sources?	
<ul> <li>☐ Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?</li> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Have measures been identified for safeguarding existing landscape features	
<ul> <li>☐ Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?</li> <li>☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?</li> <li>☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?</li> </ul>	О	Have landscaping proposals addressed biodiversity, drainage and aesthetic factors?	
☐ Have the needs of cyclists been addressed? ☐ Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	О	Have a range of parking solutions been used to suit the site specific requirements?	
Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	О	Has car parking been well integrated and does it avoid being overly dominant?	
	О	Have the needs of cyclists been addressed?	
Have areas of habitat been retained or created for the benefit of local species?	o	Has a detailed assessment of wildlife and habitat been undertaken?	
	О	Have areas of habitat been retained or created for the benefit of local species?	

О	Has the layout been designed to optimize the microclimate, (solar gain etc)?
О	Have measures been taken to address sustainability and energy efficiency?
О	Have accessibility and adaptability been incorporated into the design of dwellings?
О	Has flood risk been assessed and are any specific measures to be implemented?
О	Does the development make satisfactory provision for surface water run-off?
О	Do drainage arrangements have the provisional approval of SEPA / Scottish Water?
О	Has the site been screened for any Major Accident Hazard Pipeline within it or within its vicinity?
О	Has the site been screened for land instability / mining issues?
О	Has the site been screened for contamination and are remedial works proposed?
О	Will the development be affected by any noise and air quality issues?
О	Have requirements for the storage and collection of waste been satisfied?
О	Has the impact of construction works been addressed and provided for?
О	Have all developer contributions been identified and accounted for?

## Appendix 4

#### COSTS FOR OPEN SPACE PROVISION

## An explanation of how financial contributions have been derived

Where the entire open space requirement generated by a development is to be provided off-site, a commuted sum towards the qualitative improvement of nearby open spaces, or towards provision of new open space will be required to be paid to the council by the developer of the site.

Developing a cost for open space provision has been based on two factors, namely the Open Space Requirement (OSR) and the cost for maintaining and reconstructing that open space, the Open Space Cost (OSC).

## **Calculating the Open Space Requirement (OSR)**

The West Lothian Open Space Strategy has set an aspirational standard of all development having access to 2.4 ha (6 acres) of open space per 1000 people and an attempt has been made to translate this into an open space requirement specifically for new residential development.

A quantitative audit of open space revealed the respective proportions of public open space that existed within settlement boundaries as follows:

Type of open space	Proportion of overall public open space
Public parks and gardens	25%
Amenity greenspace	19%
Sports areas	16%
Natural/semi-natural	21%
Other open space	19%

Fig 1. Breakdown of overall public open space by open space type

Not every piece of open ground is functional open space. The open space audit does not distinguish between functional and non-functional open space. It is therefore reasonable to make some allowance for areas of non functional open space in the overall 2.4 ha standard. For the purpose of this exercise the following has been assumed:

- the entirety of public parks and gardens, sports areas and other open spaces are functional;
- one third of amenity green space is deemed functional;
- half of natural / semi-natural open space deemed functional.

This leaves an overall requirement for 1.9 ha of open space per 1000 people.

Based on the estimated population of Scotland in mid-2010, household figures issued by the General Register Office for Scotland suggests West Lothian has an average household size of 2.33 people per house. Assuming that each dwelling will accommodate on average 2.33 people this equates to 44m<sup>2</sup> per dwelling.

## Appendix 5

#### **OTHER USEFUL CONTACTS**

## **WLC Development Management**

Planning applications

t:01506 280000

e: planning@westlothian.gov.uk

## **WLC Development Planning**

Planning policy / development plans

t:01506 280000

e: dpgeneral@westlothian.gov.uk

## **Police Scotland**

The Architectural Liaison Officer

t:0131 311 3628

e: stuart.neilson@lbp.pnn.police.uk

## Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)

t:0131 449 7296

e: planning.riccarton@sepa.org.uk

## **Scottish Water** Customer Connections

t: 0845 601 8855

e: connections support@scottishwater.co.uk

## **Scottish Natural Heritage**

Tel:0131 3162600

e:ian.rennick@snh.gov.uk

## **Disability West Lothian**

t:01506 774030

e: enquiries@dwl.demon.uk

## **Central Scotland Green Network (CSGN)**

t:01501 824 190

e: support unit @ntrals cot land green network.org

## Historic Scotland's Scheduled Monument Consent Team

t:0131 668 8770

e: HS.smc@scotland.gsi.gov.uk

# Health Protection Agency (Radiation Protection Services)

t:01235 822 783

e: darren.clahane@hpa.org.uk

## **Edinburgh Airport Limited**

t: 0844 481 8989

e: kevin\_murphy@edinburghairport.com

## STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (SEA)

Under the terms of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 the RDGSPG has been screened to determine whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is required to inform its preparation. The conclusion of this screening is that a SEA is not required (undertaken in August 2012).

## **EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

An Equalities Impact Assessment of the SPG has been carried out and it was concluded that there are no adverse impacts on any part of the community covered by equalities legislation or on community relations. (undertaken in August 2012).

Officers will be pleased to help clarify any aspect of this guidance that is unclear or which is not fully understood. Please contact us if you identify any inaccuracies in the document. This will allow the content to be corrected and revised as and when appropriate.

This SPG was approved by West Lothian Council Executive 25 June 2013

#### WEB ADDRESSES IN FULL

- Page 5: Planning and Climate Change: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Built-Environment/planning/National-Planning-Policy/themes/sus-dev Scottish Planning Policy: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2010/02/03132605/8
- Page 7: Single plot and small-scale infill residential development in urban areas: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1841832/187573 8/spg\_towncramming
- Page 13: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 44, Fitting new housing developments into the landscape: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2005/04/01145231/52326

  Planning Advice Note (PAN) 67, Housing quality: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2003/02/16489/18778
- Page 16: policies on affordable housing: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/spg
- Page 19: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 68, Design Statements: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2003/08/18013/25389
- Page 22: Designing Places: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Built-Environment/planning/National-Planning-Policy/Designing
- Page 25: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 77, Designing Safer Places: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/03/08094923/0
- Page 26: series of crime prevention methods: http://www.securedbydesign.com/
- Page 29: National Planning Framework for Scotland 2:
  http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2009/07/02105627/0

  Draft National Planning Framework for Scotland 3:
  http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2013/04/2377/downloads
- Page 37: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 65, Planning and Open Space: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/225179/0060935.pdf
- Page 43: West Lothian Sports and Recreation Facilities Strategy:
  http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799441/1856768/1856775
  West Lothian Outdoor Facilities Strategy:
  http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799441/1856768/1856791
- Page 57: Cycle by Design: http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/strategy-and-research/publications-and-consultations/cycling-by-design
  Core Paths Plan: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1523/1504/1506
- Page 59: Designing Streets: A Policy Statement for Scotland:
  http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2010/03/22120652/0

  Travel plans, residential travel plan information packs and travel plan co-ordinators.
  http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/spg\_developercontributions
- Page 61: Planning for biodiversity action in West Lothian: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1842967/Biodiversity\_action\_plan

Page 62: Scotland's wildlife: the law and you:

http://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/wildlife/wildlifelaw.pdf

Planning Permission and Wildlife: what you need to know:

http://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/wildlife/planningpermissionwildlife.pdf

Page 64: The Sustainable Housing Design Guide for Scotland:

http://www.archive2.official-documents.co.uk/document/deps/cs/shdg/index.html

Page 66: Energy Savings Trust: http://www.energysavingtrust.org.uk/business

Carbon Trust: http://www.carbontrust.co.uk

Planning policy: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/spg

Page 68: SEPA Habitat Enhancement Publications:

http://www.sepa.org.uk/water/water\_publications/habitat\_enhancement.aspx

Page 69: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 69, *Planning and Building Standards Advice on Flooding*: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2004/08/19805/41594

SEPA Flooding in Scotland: http://www.sepa.org.uk/flooding/flood\_risk\_management/consultations/flooding\_in\_scotland.aspx

SEPA Planning protocol:

http://search.sepa.org.uk/sepa?action=search&q=PLANNING%20PROTOCOL

SEPA Interim Position Statement on Planning and Flooding:

http://search.sepa.org.uk/sepa?action=search&q=position+statement+on+planning+and+flooding&n=sepa

Page 70: Planning policy: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/207

Page 71: Sewers for Scotland: http://www.scottishwater.co.uk/business/our-services/new-connections/sewers-for-scotland-and-suds

Regulation of Sustainable Urban Drainage System: http://www.sepa.org.uk/water/water\_regulation/guidance/pollution\_control.aspx

Scottish Water's Construction Standards and Vesting Conditions: http://sfs.wrcplc.co.uk/

Page 72: Planning - policies: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/207

Planning Advice Note (PAN) 79, Water and Drainage:

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/09/26152857/0

SUDS For Roads: http://scots.sharepoint.apptix.net/suds/General%20 Publications/090831%20Foreword%20and%20Contents.pdf

CMDRA map of West Lothian:

http://coal.decc.gov.uk/assets/coal/whatwedo/west\_lothian\_referral.pdf

The Coal Authority contact: http://coal.decc.gov.uk/en/coal/cms/contact/contact.aspx

Page 73: West Lothian Soil Sustainability Report: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1842967/soil\_susatainability\_report

Defra Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils in Construction Sites: http://www.defra.gov.uk/publications/files/pb13298-code-of-practice-090910.pdf

Page 74: The management and after-use of soils on development sites:

http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1841832/187573 8/2173476/afteruse\_soil

Development of land potentially affected by contamination:

http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1841832/1850797/spg\_conland

Page 75: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 33, *Development of Contaminated Land*: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2000/10/pan33

HSE's Land Use Planning Methodology: http://www.hse.gov.uk/landuseplanning/padhi.pdf

Page 76: 2011 Air Quality Progress Report: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1875233/Airgualityprogressreport

Broxburn AQMA: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/law-licensing/1101/airquality2/broxburnaqma

Planning Advice Note (PAN) 51, *Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation:* http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/10/20095106/0

Page 77: HealthProtectionAgency-AnAnalysisOfRadonRemediationMethods:http://www.hpa.org.uk/Publications/Radiation/CRCEScientificAndTechnicalReportSeries/HPACRCE019/

Page 78: Planning and noise: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/207

Planning Advice Note 1/2011, Planning and Noise: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2011/02/28153945/0

Page 79: Planning Advice Note (PAN) 51, *Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation*: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/resource/doc/152228/0040973.pdf

Controlling light pollution and reducing lighting energy consumption: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1841832/187573 8/spg\_lighting

Planning Advice Note (PAN) 58, *Environmental Impact Assessment:* http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/1999/10/pan58-root/pan58

Page 80: Zero Waste Plan: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/publications/2010/06/08092724/1
SEPA http://sepa.org.uk

Financial statisics at your fingertips http://www.netregs-swmp.co.uk

Site waste management plan (SWMP) regulations guide-GG899:http://envirowise.wrap.org.uk/uk/Our-Services/Publications/GG899-Site-Waste-Management-Plan-SWMP-Regulations-Guide-.html

AggRegain http://aggregain.wrap.org.uk/

Getting rid of demolition and building waste: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1841832/1875738/2173476/builders\_material

- Page 83: Mud on Roads: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799514/1 841832/1875738/2173476/minerals\_on\_roads
- Page 84: Historic Scotland's New Design in Historic Settings:
  http://www.historic-scotland.gov.uk/new-design-in-historic-settings.pdf
  Public Art Strategy: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/1799441/2195888/public\_art\_strategy\_west\_lothian
- Page 85: *Developer contributions to public art*: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/down loaddoc/1799514/1841832/1875738/spg-publicart
- Page 86: Planning policies: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/207

  Circular 3/2012, Planning Obligations and Good Neighbour Agreements
  http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0041/00410382.pdf
- Page 87: Planning for education: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/media/downloaddoc/17 99514/1841832/1875738/spg\_planningforeducation
  Planning policies: http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/1210/161/205/207



