



# West Lothian Council

## THE WEST LOTHIAN LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (LDP)

### CONSULTATION RESPONSE PORTAL

#### Have Your Say on the Proposed Plan

#### Introduction

West Lothian Council has published its Proposed Plan together with supporting documents including an Environmental Report (SEA), Habitats Regulation Appraisal, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and Equalities & Human Rights Impact Assessment. These can be viewed on the West Lothian Council website at [www.westlothian.gov.uk/proposedplan](http://www.westlothian.gov.uk/proposedplan).

If you wish to make representations on the Proposed Plan or associated documents you are encouraged to use this online consultation portal. It's quick and easy and will help us to process representations more efficiently, reducing the overall cost and environmental impact of the exercise.

You do not need to complete this questionnaire in a single visit. Just simply put your Survey Reference Number (CODE) in the top right corner of the screen to allow you to continue with your submission at a later date.

If you need assistance with completing or submitting your representation online please call the Customer Service Centre (CSC) on 01506 280000. Please advise the Customer Service Centre that your enquiry relates specifically to the Local Development Plan and ask for it to be logged and directed to the Development Plan and Environment Team. Someone will contact you. Alternatively you can email us at [wlldp@westlothian.gov.uk](mailto:wlldp@westlothian.gov.uk) We will endeavour to respond as quickly as possible but in any event within 3 working days of receiving your enquiry.

It is important that all representations are submitted no later than midnight on Sunday 22 November 2015. Representations received after that time will not be considered.

Your comments should be concise, having regard to paragraph 84 of Scottish Government Circular 6/2013: Development Planning which states that representations should be no more than 2,000 words plus any limited supporting productions.

#### Data Protection Statement

*Please be aware that when you make representations on the Proposed Plan through the consultation portal (and/or in a conventional written format) personal information provided as part of a representation cannot be treated as confidential. Representations require to be made available online and for public inspection at the council's office (this would include your name but would exclude any information which would be subject to the Data Protection Act 1998 i.e. signature, postal address, telephone number, email).*

*We are also required to pass these details to the Scottish Government's Directorate of Planning and Environmental Appeals (DPEA). This is because they may at a later date wish to invite you to an Examination in Public of the Proposed Plan to discuss your representation.*

*Before using the consultation portal you should also note that any information posted may be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002. West Lothian Council will not be liable for any loss or damage arising from or in connection with the disclosure of any information including the disclosure of user generated content.*

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

### Your Details

Please indicate in what capacity you are making this submission: \*

Please note that this is a mandatory field

- as an individual (and representing your own views)
- as a representative of a private or commercial organisation (and representing the views of that organisation)
- as a representative of a public organisation (and representing the views of that organisation)
- as an agent (and making comments on behalf of other individuals that you represent or third parties)
- other

Please complete the following contact information: \*

Please note that this is a mandatory field

Title

First Name

Surname

Email Address

Telephone

Postal Address

Organization Name

Client's Name

Is this the first time you have made a written representation on the Proposed Plan? \*

Please note that this is a mandatory field

- yes
- no

If you have previously submitted a site to be considered for development when the Council was initially seeking Expressions of Interest (EOI), or commented on the Local Development Plan at the Main Issues Report (MIR) stage, or made a previous submission to the Proposed Plan please provide the reference given to you at that time if known.

EOI & MIR reference number can be found on any email or written communication we may have previously sent you

Enter EOI (Expression of Interest) reference here

Enter MIRQ (Main Issues Report) reference here

Please enter your survey reference number in the text box below. \*

Survey Reference Number (CODE) can be found in the top right corner of this screen  
Survey Reference Number (CODE) will allow you to save your responses and return to finish the survey later

Please keep a note of your Survey Reference Number (CODE) for future reference

Please note that this is a mandatory field

## 2. FOREWORD (page 4)

This introduction by the Leader of the Council establishes the role of the LDP and how it will help deliver the council's core objectives.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

## 3. BACKGROUND (page 6, paragraphs 1.1-1.5)

Provides a brief historical context to the economic development of West Lothian which helps explain how settlements established and have developed.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

#### 4. CONTEXT (page 7, paragraphs 2.1-2.2)

Provides wider context for the LDP in geographic terms and explains how the LDP fits with the Strategic Development Plan (SDP1).

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

#### 5. ROLE AND PURPOSE OF PLAN (page 7, paragraphs 3.1-3.5)

Establishes the role and purpose of the LDP in terms of its being a material consideration in the determination of any planning applications for development in West Lothian and, when adopted by the Council, will replace the West Lothian Local Plan. It also sets out what documents the plan must comply with i.e. the Planning Act (Scotland) 2006 and what documents will accompany the plan i.e. the Action Programme etc.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

#### 6. VISION STATEMENT AND AIMS (page 8, paragraphs 4.1-4.3)

Establishes the vision for the LDP in terms of outcomes desired over the plan period and identifies the key aims of the plan by individual subject areas i.e. Economic Development & Growth, Community Regeneration, Sustainable Housing Locations, Infrastructure Requirements and Delivery, Town Centres and Retailing, the Natural and Historic Environment, Climate Change and Renewable Energy and Waste and Minerals.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant sub-section(s) of Vision Statement and Aims from the list below.

- Economic Development and Growth (page 8)
- Community Regeneration (page 8)
- Sustainable Housing Locations (page 9)
- Infrastructure Requirements and Delivery (page 9)
- Town Centres and Retailing (page 9)
- The Natural and Historic Environment (page 9)
- Climate Change and Renewable Energy (page 9)
- Waste and Minerals (page 9)

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

Economic Development and Growth (page 8)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community Regeneration (page 8)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sustainable Housing Locations (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Infrastructure Requirements and Delivery (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Town Centres and Retailing (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Natural and Historic Environment (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Climate Change and Renewable Energy (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Waste and Minerals (page 9)	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 7. THE SPATIAL STRATEGY (INCLUDING POLICY FRAMEWORK) (page 10, paragraphs 5.1-5.10)

In the context of the Strategic Development Plan (SDP), the LDP identifies West Lothian as being one of thirteen Strategic Development Areas where development will be focused in sustainable locations where infrastructure is either available or can be provided and in locations where there are no environmental constraints.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant sub-section(s) of the Spatial Strategy from the list below.







- Economic Development and Growth (page 12, paragraphs 5.11-5.22 )
- Flexibility within traditional industrial estates (page 14, paragraphs 5.24-5.25)
- Enterprise Areas (page 17, paragraphs 5.24-5.25)
- Local business opportunities, small business start-ups and working from home (page 17, paragraph 5.26)
- Tourism (page 17, paragraphs 5.27-5.28)
- Promoting community regeneration (page 19, paragraphs 5.29-5.35)
- Housing land requirements for the LDP (page 20, paragraphs 5.36-5.49)
- Effective Housing Land and Generous Supply (page 23, paragraphs 5.50-5.53)
- New Housing Sites and Design (page 24, paragraphs 5.4-5.56)
- Strategic Allocations (including previously identified Core Development Area Allocations) (page 25, paragraphs 5.57-5.61)
- Whitburn/Charette (page 26, paragraph 5.62)
- Linlithgow and Linlithgow Bridge (page 26-27)
- Deans South, Livingston; Area for Comprehensive Re-development (page 27, paragraph 5.68)
- Affordable Housing (page 27, paragraphs 5.69-5.74)
- Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People (page 29, paragraph 5.75)
- Residential Care and Supported Accommodation (page 29, paragraphs 5.76-5.77)
- Infrastructure Requirements and Delivery (page 30, paragraphs 5.78-5.84)
- Providing for Community Needs (page 32, paragraphs 5.85-5.88)
- Education (page 32, paragraphs 5.89-5.92)
- Healthcare Provision (page 33, paragraphs 5.93-5.96)
- Sports Facilities (page 33, paragraphs 5.97-5.101)
- Green Infrastructure and Green Networks (page 34, paragraphs 5.102-5.105)
- Water and Drainage (page 34, paragraphs 5.106-5.107)
- Travel in and around West Lothian (page 34, paragraphs 5.108-5.112)
- Roads (page 35, paragraph 5.113)
- A71 Corridor (page 35, paragraphs 5.114-5.115)
- A801 Corridor (page 35, paragraphs 5.116-5.117)
- A89/A8 (page 35, paragraphs 5.118-5.126)
- Rail (page 37, paragraphs 5.127-5.130)
- Walking and Cycling (page 37, paragraphs 5.131-5.132)
- Town Centres and Retailing (page 39, paragraphs 5.133-5.138)
- Landscape Character and Local Landscape Designations (page 41, paragraphs 5.139-5.143)
- Countryside Belts (page 42, paragraph 5.144)
- Development in the Countryside (page 42, paragraphs 5.145-5.147)
- Lowland Crofting (page 44, paragraphs 5.148-5.152)
- Green Networks, Local Biodiversity Sites and Geodiversity Sites (page 45, paragraphs 5.153-5.155)
- Forestry (page 46, paragraphs 5.156-5.163)
- Union Canal (p.49 paragraphs 5.164-5.165)
- Pentland Hills Regional Park (page 49, paragraphs 5.164-5.165)
- Country Parks (page 50, paragraph 5.169)
- Allotments/Community Growing (page 51, paragraphs 5.170-5.171)
- Temporary/Advance Greening (page 51, paragraphs 5.172-5.174)
- Biodiversity (page 52, paragraphs 5.175-5.180)
- Geodiversity (page 53, paragraph 5.181)
- West Lothian Open Space Strategy (page 53, paragraphs 5.182-5.184)
- Historic and Cultural Environment (page 54, paragraphs 5.185-5.187)
- Conservation Areas (page 55, paragraphs 5.185-5.188)



- Former Bangour Village Hospital, Dechmont (page 56, paragraph 5.189)
- Conservation Area at Abercorn/Hopetoun Estate (page 56, paragraph 5.190)
- Other Areas of Built Heritage and Townscape Value (page 57, paragraphs 5.191-5.199)
- Listed Buildings (page 58, paragraphs 5.185-5.187)
- Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes (page 59, paragraphs 5.200-5.201)
- Historic Battlefields (page 60, paragraph 5.202)
- Archaeology (page 60, paragraph 5.203)
- Scheduled Monuments (page 60, paragraphs 5.204-5.206)
- Public Art (page 61, paragraphs 5.207-5.208)
- Climate Change Measures (page 62, paragraphs 5.209-5.214)
- Low Carbon Development and Renewable Energy (page 63, paragraphs 5.215-5.221)
- Wind Farms and Wind Turbines (page 65, paragraphs 5.222-5.225)
- Energy and Heat Networks (page 66, paragraphs 5.226-5.229)
- Off-gas Grid Areas and Renewable Heat Requirement for New-build Housing (page 67, paragraphs 5.230-5.232)
- The Water Environment and Flood Risk Management (page 67, paragraphs 5.233-5.239)
- Air Quality and Noise (page 70, paragraphs 5.240-5.242)
- Edinburgh Airport (page 71, paragraph 5.243)
- Noise (page 71, paragraph 5.244)
- Contaminated Land (page 71, paragraphs 5.245-5.246)
- Vacant and Derelict Land (page 72, paragraphs 5.249-5.250)
- Minerals and Waste (page 73, paragraphs 5.251-5.256)
- Site Restoration (page 75, paragraphs 5.257-5.238)
- Unconventional Gas Extraction including Hydraulic Fracking (page 75, paragraph 5.259)
- Waste (page 76, paragraph 5.260)

**Please use the text box below for your comments.**

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.







Economic Development and Growth (page 12, paragraphs 5.11-5.22 )	
Flexibility within traditional industrial estates (page 14, paragraphs 5.24-5.25)	
Enterprise Areas (page 17, paragraphs 5.24-5.25)	
Local business opportunities, small business start-ups and working from home (page 17, paragraph 5.26)	
Tourism (page 17, paragraphs 5.27-5.28)	
Promoting community regeneration (page 19, paragraphs 5.29-5.35)	
Housing land requirements for the LDP (page 20, paragraphs 5.36-5.49)	
Effective Housing Land and Generous Supply (page 23, paragraphs 5.50-5.53)	
New Housing Sites and Design (page 24, paragraphs 5.4-5.56)	
Strategic Allocations (including previously identified Core Development Area Allocations) (page 25, paragraphs 5.57-5.61)	
Whitburn/Charette (page 26, paragraph 5.62)	
Linlithgow and Linlithgow Bridge (page 26-27)	
Deans South, Livingston; Area for Comprehensive Re-development (page 27, paragraph 5.68)	
Affordable Housing (page 27, paragraphs 5.69-5.74)	
Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People (page 29, paragraph 5.75)	
Residential Care and Supported Accommodation (page 29, paragraphs 5.76-5.77)	
Infrastructure Requirements and Delivery (page 30, paragraphs 5.78-5.84)	
Providing for Community Needs (page 32, paragraphs 5.85-5.88)	
Education (page 32, paragraphs 5.89-5.92)	
Healthcare Provision (page 33, paragraphs 5.93-5.96)	
Sports Facilities (page 33, paragraphs 5.97-5.101)	
Green Infrastructure and Green Networks (page 34, paragraphs 5.102-5.105)	
Water and Drainage (page 34, paragraphs 5.106-5.107)	
Travel in and around West Lothian (page 34, paragraphs 5.108-5.112)	
Roads (page 35, paragraph 5.113)	
A71 Corridor (page 35, paragraphs 5.114-5.115)	
A801 Corridor (page 35, paragraphs 5.116-5.117)	
A89/A8 (page 35, paragraphs 5.118-5.126)	
Rail (page 37, paragraphs 5.127-5.130)	
Walking and Cycling (page 37, paragraphs 5.131-5.132)	
Town Centres and Retailing (page 39, paragraphs 5.133-5.138)	
Landscape Character and Local Landscape Designations (page 41, paragraphs 5.139-5.143)	
Countryside Belts (page 42, paragraph 5.144)	
Development in the Countryside (page 42, paragraphs 5.145-5.147)	
Lowland Crofting (page 44, paragraphs 5.148-5.152)	
Green Networks, Local Biodiversity Sites and Geodiversity Sites (page 45, paragraphs 5.153-5.155)	
Forestry (page 46, paragraphs 5.156-5.163)	
Union Canal (p.49 paragraphs 5.164-5.165)	
Pentland Hills Regional Park (page 49, paragraphs 5.164-5.165)	
Country Parks (page 50, paragraph 5.169)	

Allotments/Community Growing (page 51, paragraphs 5.170-5.171)	
Temporary/Advance Greening (page 51, paragraphs 5.172-5.174)	
Biodiversity (page 52, paragraphs 5.175-5.180)	
Geodiversity (page 53, paragraph 5.181)	
West Lothian Open Space Strategy (page 53, paragraphs 5.182-5.184)	
Historic and Cultural Environment (page 54, paragraphs 5.185-5.187)	
Conservation Areas (page 55, paragraphs 5.185-5.188)	
Former Bangour Village Hospital, Dechmont (page 56, paragraph 5.189)	
Conservation Area at Abercorn/Hopetoun Estate (page 56, paragraph 5.190)	
Other Areas of Built Heritage and Townscape Value (page 57, paragraphs 5.191-5.199)	
Listed Buildings (page 58, paragraphs 5.185-5.187)	
Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes (page 59, paragraphs 5.200-5.201)	
Historic Battlefields (page 60, paragraph 5.202)	
Archaeology (page 60, paragraph 5.203)	
Scheduled Monuments (page 60, paragraphs 5.204-5.206)	
Public Art (page 61, paragraphs 5.207-5.208)	
Climate Change Measures (page 62, paragraphs 5.209-5.214)	
Low Carbon Development and Renewable Energy (page 63, paragraphs 5.215-5.221)	
Wind Farms and Wind Turbines (page 65, paragraphs 5.222-5.225)	
Energy and Heat Networks (page 66, paragraphs 5.226-5.229)	
Off-gas Grid Areas and Renewable Heat Requirement for New-build Housing (page 67, paragraphs 5.230-5.232)	
The Water Environment and Flood Risk Management (page 67, paragraphs 5.233-5.239)	
Air Quality and Noise (page 70, paragraphs 5.240-5.242)	
Edinburgh Airport (page 71, paragraph 5.243)	
Noise (page 71, paragraph 5.244)	
Contaminated Land (page 71, paragraphs 5.245-5.246)	
Vacant and Derelict Land (page 72, paragraphs 5.249-5.250)	
Minerals and Waste (page 73, paragraphs 5.251-5.256)	
Site Restoration (page 75, paragraphs 5.257-5.238)	
Unconventional Gas Extraction including Hydraulic Fracking (page 75, paragraph 5.259)	
Waste (page 76, paragraph 5.260)	

**8. DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL BY SETTLEMENT (page 79)**

Provides details of development proposals which are supported by the LDP in each town and village across West Lothian and assigns each one a unique reference for ease of identification.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes
- no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant Settlement(s) from the list below.

- Addiewell & Loganlea (page 79)
- Armadale (page 80)
- Bathgate (page 81)
- Blackburn (page 82)
- Blackridge (page 83)
- Breich (page 83)
- Bridgehouse & Bridgecastle (page 83)
- Bridgend (page 83)
- Broxburn (page 84)
- Burnside (page 84)
- Dechmont & Bangour (page 85)
- East Calder (page 85)
- East Whitburn (page 86)
- Ecclesmachan (page 86)
- Fauldhouse (page 86)
- Greenrigg (page 86)
- Kirknewton (page 87)
- Landward area (page 87)
- Linlithgow & Linlithgow Bridge (page 89)
- Livingston (page 90)
- Longridge (page 93)
- Mid Calder (page 93)
- Hewton and Woodend (page 93)
- Philpstoun/East & West Philpstoun/Old Philpstoun (page 93)
- Polbeth (page 93)
- Pumpherston (page 93)
- Seafield (page 93)
- Stoneyburn/Bents (page 94)
- Threemiletown (page 94)
- Torphichen (page 94)
- Uphall (page 94)
- Uphall Station (page 94)
- West Calder & Harburn (page 95)
- Westfield (page 95)
- Whitburn (page 96)
- Wilkieston (page 97)
- Winchburgh (page 97)

Please enter site reference(s)/proposals reference (as appropriate) and location/site address below.

Site Reference/ Location / Site Address can be found in the relevant Settlement Statement

	site reference	location/ site address
Armadale (page 80)	H-AM 3	Nelson Park/Mallace Avenue

Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

## Comment

Armadale  
(page 80)

The following comment is 440 words long; I object to the proposed plan. Nelson Park should not be designated for development because this is in contravention of Holyrood's Scottish Planning Policy which says "Planning Authorities should support, protect and enhance open space and opportunities for sport and recreation". This policy goes on to say "poor maintenance and neglect should not be used to justify development of open space which may otherwise be potentially functional and valued". Nelson Park has been neglected to the point that it no longer enjoys even a simple grass cutting service. Since the construction of North Fells, nothing effective has been done to fix the resulting extensive drainage problems on the site. Furthermore the proposed development in Nelson Park, which appears to have been approved without adhering to all council policies (not everyone who placed an official objection were invited to speak at the planning committee meeting where the plan was approved), is packed with genuine material concerns raised by surrounding residents, but not answered to be either the council or the developers. For example, the land is planned to be raised by up to 3m leading to a 45 degree incline into what will only become a stagnant trench right within 1m of a proposed large family dwelling and existing dwellings. Other similar concerns have been ignored by the council. Several questions asked about the plan by surrounding residents still remain unanswered, such as, why were there no street-scape diagrams available during the consultation, which would show the considerable elevation of the proposed 2 storey buildings in relation to existing bungalow dwellings? Has this substantial elevation been taken into consideration in essential sunlight restriction calculations and have these even been carried out? These questions remain unanswered despite the council approving the plan. At the committee meeting where this plan was approved, two Labour councillors (one named Harry Cartmill, the other I believe was Chris Horman), conceded in their summary that they agreed that Nelson Park should never have been designated for development, then both voted for the approval of the proposed plan on the basis that that this can't be changed. Once again, I implore officials to see reason here; there is nothing set in stone to say that Nelson Park MUST be built upon. Please use this new consultation on the Local Development Plan to allow yourselves to reverse this decision. The drainage problems following the construction of North Fells were not foreseen, and despite considerable efforts by Taylor Wimpey, have not been rectified. Therefore, what may once have been a suitable site for this development no longer remains so. This is your opportunity to change the plan. Please take it.

**9. APPENDICES (page 99)**

A number of appendices are included at the end of the LDP which provides additional detail on specific elements of the Proposed Plan.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes  
 no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant Appendix(es) of the Proposed Plan from the list below.

- Appendix 1 - Employment Land Allocations (page 99)  
 Appendix 2 - Schedule of Housing Sites / Site Delivery Requirements (page 119)  
 Appendix 3 - Schedule of Land Ownership (page 259)  
 Appendix 4 - LDP Supplementary Guidance (SG) and Planning Guidance (PG) (page 265)  
 Appendix 5 - List of Policies (page 273)  
 Appendix 6 - List of Proposals (page 275)

Please use the text box below for your comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

Appendix  
6 - List of  
Proposals  
(page  
275)

The following comment is 440 words long; I object to the proposed plan. Nelson Park should not be designated for development because this is in contravention of Holyrood's Scottish Planning Policy which says "Planning Authorities should support, protect and enhance open space and opportunities for sport and recreation". This policy goes on to say "poor maintenance and neglect should not be used to justify development of open space which may otherwise be potentially functional and valued". Nelson Park has been neglected to the point that it no longer enjoys even a simple grass cutting service. Since the construction of North Fells, nothing effective has been done to fix the resulting extensive drainage problems on the site. Furthermore the proposed development in Nelson Park, which appears to have been approved without adhering to all council policies (not everyone who placed an official objection were invited to speak at the planning committee meeting where the plan was approved), is packed with genuine material concerns raised by surrounding residents, but not answered to be either the council or the developers. For example, the land is planned to be raised by up to 3m leading to a 45 degree incline into what will only become a stagnant trench right within 1m of a proposed large family dwelling and existing dwellings. Other similar concerns have been ignored by the council. Several questions asked about the plan by surrounding residents still remain unanswered, such as, why were there no street-scape diagrams available during the consultation, which would show the considerable elevation of the proposed 2 storey buildings in relation to existing bungalow dwellings? Has this substantial elevation been taken into consideration in essential sunlight restriction calculations and have these even been carried out? These questions remain unanswered despite the council approving the plan. At the committee meeting where this plan was approved, two Labour councillors (one named Harry Cartmill, the other I believe was Chris Horman), conceded in their summary that they agreed that Nelson Park should never have been designated for development, then both voted for the approval of the proposed plan on the basis that that this can't be changed. Once again, I implore officials to see reason here; there is nothing set in stone to say that Nelson Park MUST be built upon. Please use this new consultation on the Local Development Plan to allow yourselves to reverse this decision. The drainage problems following the construction of North Fells were not foreseen, and despite considerable efforts by Taylor Wimpey, have not been rectified. Therefore, what may once have been a suitable site for this development no longer remains so. This is your opportunity to change the plan. Please take it.

**10. GLOSSARY (page 280)**

An explanation of unfamiliar terms or expressions used in the LDP is provided to assist understanding of the document.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes  
 no



Please use the text box below for comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

## 11. PROPOSALS MAPS

The LDP comprises a series of five maps which define settlement boundaries and illustrate land use zonings.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes  
 no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant Proposals Maps from the list below.

- Proposals Map 1 - West Lothian  
 Proposals Map 2 - Linlithgow & Broxburn Area  
 Proposals Map 3 - Livingston Area  
 Proposals Map 4 - Bathgate Area  
 Proposals Map 5 - Villages

Please use the text box below for your comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

Proposals  
Map 5 -  
Villages

The following comment is 440 words long; I object to the proposed plan. Helson Park should not be designated for development because this is in contravention of Holyrood's Scottish Planning Policy which says "Planning Authorities should support, protect and enhance open space and opportunities for sport and recreation". This policy goes on to say "poor maintenance and neglect should not be used to justify development of open space which may otherwise be potentially functional and valued". Helson Park has been neglected to the point that it no longer enjoys even a simple grass cutting service. Since the construction of North Fells, nothing effective has been done to fix the resulting extensive drainage problems on the site. Furthermore the proposed development in Helson Park, which appears to have been approved without adhering to all council policies (not everyone who placed an official objection were invited to speak at the planning committee meeting where the plan was approved), is packed with genuine material concerns raised by surrounding residents, but not answered to be either the council or the developers. For example, the land is planned to be raised by up to 3m leading to a 45 degree incline into what will only become a stagnant trench right within 1m of a proposed large family dwelling and existing dwellings. Other similar concerns have been ignored by the council. Several questions asked about the plan by surrounding residents still remain unanswered, such as, why were there no street-scape diagrams available during the consultation, which would show the considerable elevation of the proposed 2 storey buildings in relation to existing bungalow dwellings? Has this substantial elevation been taken into consideration in essential sunlight restriction calculations and have these even been carried out? These questions remain unanswered despite the council approving the plan. At the committee meeting where this plan was approved, two Labour councillors (one named Harry Cartmill, the other I believe was Chris Norman), conceded in their summary that they agreed that Helson Park should never have been designated for development, then both voted for the approval of the proposed plan on the basis that that this can't be changed. Once again, I implore officials to see reason here; there is nothing set in stone to say that Helson Park MUST be built upon. Please use this new consultation on the Local Development Plan to allow yourselves to reverse this decision. The drainage problems following the construction of North Fells were not foreseen, and despite considerable efforts by Taylor Wimpey, have not been rectified. Therefore, what may once have been a suitable site for this development no longer remains so. This is your opportunity to change the plan. Please take it.

## 12. ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS

Alongside the LDP is a suite of documents which are required by statute as part of the preparation and supporting evidence for the LDP.

Do you wish to make a comment?

- yes  
 no

If you wish to make comments please begin by selecting the relevant Accompanying Documents from the list below.

- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Environmental Report.  
 Equalities & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EQHRIA).  
 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA).  
 Habitats Regulations Appraisal.  
 Transport Appraisal (TA).  
 Action Programme.

Please use the text box below for your comments.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Environmental Report.	<input type="text"/>
Equalities & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EQHRIA).	<input type="text"/>
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA).	<input type="text"/>
Habitats Regulations Appraisal.	<input type="text"/>
Transport Appraisal (TA).	<input type="text"/>
Action Programme.	<input type="text"/>

### 13. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Do you wish to submit any additional comments on the LDP?

- yes  
 no

Please use the text box below for your additional comments on the LDP.

Your comments should be concise and limited to no more than 2,000 words. You should fully explain the issues you wish to be considered when the Proposed Plan is presented to Scottish Ministers for Examination. Please indicate whether you are seeking a change to the Proposed Plan (i.e. your representation is an objection) or if your representation supports the Proposed Plan as written.

This electronic form appears flawed to the end user and seems to have cut my previous comments short. The following comment is 440 words long; I object to the proposed plan. Nelson Park should not be designated for development because this is in contravention of Holyrood's Scottish Planning Policy which says "Planning Authorities should support, protect and enhance open space and opportunities for sport and recreation". This policy goes on to say "poor maintenance and neglect should not be used to justify development of open space which may otherwise be potentially functional and valued". Nelson Park has been neglected to the point that it no longer enjoys even a simple grass cutting service. Since the construction of North Fells, nothing effective has been done to fix the resulting extensive drainage problems on the site. Furthermore the proposed development in Nelson Park, which appears to have been approved without adhering to all council policies (not everyone who placed an official objection were invited to speak at the planning committee meeting where the plan was approved), is packed with genuine material concerns raised by surrounding residents, but not answered to be either the council or the developers. For example, the land is planned to be raised by up to 3m leading to a 45 degree incline into what will only become a stagnant trench right within 1m of a proposed large family dwelling and existing dwellings. Other similar concerns have been ignored by the council. Several questions asked about the plan by surrounding residents still remain unanswered, such as, why were there no street-scape diagrams available during the consultation, which would show the considerable elevation of the proposed 2 storey buildings in relation to existing bungalow dwellings? Has this substantial elevation been taken into consideration in essential sunlight restriction calculations and have these even been carried out? These questions remain unanswered despite the council approving the plan. At the committee meeting where this plan was approved, two Labour councillors (one named Harry Cartmill, the other I believe was Chris Horman), conceded in their summary that they agreed that Nelson Park should never have been designated for development, then both voted for the approval of the proposed plan on the basis that that this can't be changed. Once again, I implore officials to see reason here; there is nothing set in stone to say that Nelson Park MUST be built upon. Please use this new consultation on the Local Development Plan to allow yourselves to reverse this decision. The drainage problems following the construction of North Fells were not foreseen, and despite considerable efforts by Taylor Wimpey, have not been rectified. Therefore, what may once have been a suitable site for this development no longer remains so. This is your opportunity to change the plan. Please take it.

Before pressing the submit button please review your submission and make any changes. Once you have pressed SUBMIT you will be unable to go back to the survey.

Once we have received your submission we will send you a copy for your records. This could take up to 5 working days. If you do not receive a copy in that time please contact the Customer Service Centre.

### CONFIRMATION OF YOUR PARTICIPATION

We will send you an email notification as soon as your survey is submitted.

Please enter your email address below.