

From: [REDACTED]
To: clarendon@your-views.co.uk
Cc: [wldp](#)
Subject: Proposed Residential Development - Clarendon Farm, Linlithgow
Date: 16 November 2015 17:09:51

Dear Sirs,

This email is a response to the Consultation for the above development proposal, held on 2nd November 2015.

I should like to register my objection to the proposals for the following reasons.

1. The proposed development is exactly the same scheme that was refused Planning Permission by West Lothian Council and by Scottish Ministers following the recent Appeal Hearing.
 2. The proposals are premature until the current Local Development Plan process is concluded.
 3. The education capacity issues, which were one of the principal reasons that the previous Planning Application was refused, have not been addressed and will not be resolved for some years, if indeed these issues can be resolved at all.
 4. The considerable infrastructure problems that Linlithgow faces are not addressed in the proposed scheme. A comprehensive solution to these issues should be found before any significant housing developments should be considered.
 5. The traffic generated by the development will cause further congestion and road safety risks to an already unsatisfactory and dangerous location at the Manse Road canal bridge, to the station access on Back Station Road and to its junction with the B9080.
 6. Primary school children from the development will add to an already very difficult and unsatisfactory crossing arrangement at the canal bridge, increasing the risk of accidents, as recent incidents have confirmed.
 7. Finally, the access to the development remains unresolved. It was confirmed by the Reporter in his judgement following the Appeal Hearing, and conceded by the Council, that the existing farm road could not be closed and that it was inevitable that residents of the proposed development would also use it. Indeed the Reporter considered, quite rightly, that it would be used far more 'than the Council considered likely'. He also pointed out that the transport assessment 'did not address this risk' and that 'The proposal has not been demonstrated to be acceptable.'
- The residents of [REDACTED] agree that the original access proposal was not acceptable and this issue remains completely unresolved.

For these reasons any application for Planning Permission for this proposal should be refused yet again.

Yours sincerely,

Brian Lightbody
on behalf of the [REDACTED]