From: john bell

Sent: 05 November 2015 11:17

To: wlldp

Subject: Proposed development of contaminated land in Murieston between Tarbert and Teviot Drives,

Murieston.

FAO: Development Planning and Environmental Manager

Dear Sir,

Reference H-LV-3, Murieston South (8) Tarbert Drive

We wish to complain and are astounded that once again this piece of toxic contaminated land is being considered for development by WLCouncil. We consider that there are at least very three strong reasons to complain and object to this proposed development, it must not be allowed to proceed.

- Previously, over the years 3 separate planning applications have been declined on the grounds of this land being contaminated with many and various toxic and carcinogenic materials and any ground disturbance and removal of contaminated soils is a health risk and hazard to the people living in surrounding community and along the route the trucks take. NOTHING HAS CHANGED! The hazard and risk to health remains unchanged.
- The is also a tree preservation order on the land put in place by WL Council in 2009, again NOTHING HAS CHANGED! The reasons for the preservation order remain the same. Should this tree preservation order be overturned by WLC to aid or permit the development to proceed it would be viewed as a precedent that every WL householder could use to overturn council tree preservation orders they have placed upon them. Further, in this situation we are sure that the suspicion would also be abroad that perhaps the council &/ or certain individuals connected to the council stood to gain from a development being permitted to go ahead. Not exactly a good PR result for the council even if this suspicion were untrue?
- Finally, any development and ground disturbance would affect the wildlife flora and fauna in the immediate vicinity and farther afield due to fauna travelling around the area and being wind borne, the toxic materials being disturbed and exposed could well poison a large number of the wildlife in the immediate short term and have longer lasting toxic and carcinogenic effects through the whole wildlife food chain. We understand that there are Badgers in the area and they are a protected specis and they too would be exposed to risk d their lives put at risk from toxic-carcinogenic materials.
- Please treat this communication as TWO complaints, not one as it is lodged on behalf of myself AND my wife and thus constitutes TWO complaints.

Yours faithfully

Mr John Bell AND Mrs Rita Bell